IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR SHARMA

ON THE 6th OF APRIL, 2023

SECOND APPEAL No. 705 of 2004

BETWEEN:-

1. **CHANDRAMANI PRASAD S/O KASHINATH BRAHMIN, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, R/O VILLAGE DHANHA, TEHSIL RAMPUR NAIKIN, DISTRICT SIDHI (MADHYA PRADESH) (DIED)**

   1(A) RAM MILAN, S/O LATE CHANDRAMANI PRASAD, AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS.
   1(B) RAM NARAYAN, S/O LATE CHANDRAMANI PRASAD, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS.
   1(C) RAM CHARAN, S/O LATE CHANDRAMANI PRASAD, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS.
   ALL R/O VILLAGE DHANHA, TAHSIL RAMPUR, NAIKIN, DISTRICT REWA (M.P.)

2. **SHATRUGHAN PRASAD, S/O BHAIYALAL, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, R/O VILLAGE DHANHA, TEHSIL RAMPUR NAIKIN, DISTRICT SIDHI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

3. **RAJBAHOR S/O JAGDISH PRASAD, AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS, R/O VILLAGE DHANHA, TEHSIL RAMPUR NAIKIN, DISTRICT SIDHI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

4. **HARISHCHANDRA S/O JAGDISH PRASAD, AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS, R/O VILLAGE DHANHA, TEHSIL RAMPUR NAIKIN, DISTRICT SIDHI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

5. **SHESHMANI S/O JAGDISH PRASAD, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, R/O VILLAGE DHANHA, TEHSIL RAMPUR NAIKIN, DISTRICT SIDHI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

6. **TEJBHAN S/O JAGDISH PRASAD, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, R/O VILLAGE DHANHA, TEHSIL RAMPUR NAIKIN, DISTRICT SIDHI (MADHYA PRADESH)**

7. **LALLURAM S/O BAIJNATH RAM, AGED ABOUT 60**

Signature Not Verified
Signed by: VINOD SHARMA
Signing time: 4/11/2023
5:29:10 PM

YEARS, R/O VILLAGE DHANHA, TEHSIL RAMPUR NAIKIN, DISTRICT SIDHI (MADHYA PRADESH)

8. HARIHARRAM S/O BAIJNATH RAM, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS, R/O VILLAGE DHANHA, TEHSIL RAMPUR NAIKIN, DISTRICT SIDHI (MADHYA PRADESH)

9. RAMVISHAL S/O BAIJNATH RAM, AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS, R/O VILLAGE DHANHA, TEHSIL RAMPUR NAIKIN, DISTRICT SIDHI (MADHYA PRADESH)

.....APPELLANTS

(SHRI A.J. PAWAR - ABSENT)

AND

RAM SINGAR RAM S/O LALOHAR RAM, AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS, R/O VILLAGE DHANHA, TEHSIL RAMPUR NAIKIN, DISTRICT SIDHI (MADHYA PRADESH)

.....RESPONDENT

(BY SHRI R.K. TIWARI - ADVOCATE)

This appeal coming on for admission this day, the court passed the following:

ORDER

Today none has appeared on behalf of the appellants. On earlier occasion i.e. on 28.01.2019 also no one appeared on behalf of the appellants, which clearly indicates that the appellants have no longer interest in prosecuting this appeal.

Appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Needless to say that any interim relief, granted earlier in favour of the appellants also stands vacated.

Record of the Courts below be sent back along with the copy of this order to the concerned Courts.

Signature Not Verified
Signed by: VINOD SHARMA
Signing time: 4/11/2023
5:29:10 PM

(ARUN KUMAR SHARMA)
JUDGE

Vin**

Signature Not Verified
Signed by: VINOD SHARMA
Signing time: 4/11/2023
5:29:10 PM