IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.20928 of 2025

Decided on: 31st December, 2025

Priti Thakur **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and others **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Dogra, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajat Choudhry, Assistant Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Rajat Choudhry, learned Assistant Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard at this stage.

3. Petitioner's grievance is that her representation (Annexure P-8) seeking applicability of ***Mohan Singh and Ors. Versus State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.***[2] has not been decided till date by the respondents/competent

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] CWP No.814 of 2020, decided alongwith connected matters on 27.10.2025

authority. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content in case the respondents/ competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representation in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Assistant Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/ competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-8) in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of ***Mohan Singh***[2] within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

December 31, 2025
Mukesh