2025:AHC-LKO:85851-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW

WRIT - A No. - 14658 of 2025

Ratan Kumar Chakravorty

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union Of India, Thru. General Manager North Eastern Railway Gorakhpur And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Shachindra Pratap Singh, Neeraj Kumar Rai
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	A.S.G.I.

Court No. - 2

HON'BLE MRS. SANGEETA CHANDRA, J.
HON'BLE AMITABH KUMAR RAI, J.

This writ petition has been filed with the following prayers :-

i) to issue writ order or direction of or in the nature of certiorari to quash the judgment and order dated 21.07.2025, passed by the Learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Allahabad Bench, Allahabad in Original Application No. 853 of 2009 (Ratan Kumar Chakravorty Vs. Union of India and others), as contained in Annexure No. 1 to the writ petition.

ii) to issue writ order or direction of or in the nature of certiorari to to quash the impugned orders dated 08.08.2007, 20.11.2008, 24.03.2009 and 24.06.2009 as contained in Annexure Nos.2, 3, 4 and 5 to the writ petition;

iii) to issue writ order or direction of or in the nature of mandamus commanding the Respondents to revise the pay scale of the Petitioner from the date of passing of the impugned order dated 20.11.2008 (Annexure No.3) and thereafter, pay the arrears of salary and consequently, revise his pension accordingly.

A preliminary objection has been raised by learned D.S.G.I., Sri S. B. Pandey, assisted by Sri Varun Pandey, that the original application regarding the judgment and order which has been challenged before this Court was filed before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Allahabad Bench, at Allahabad.

The writ petition has been filed by the petitioner before this Court only because the alleged misconduct had occurred at Lucknow while he was posted at Charbagh Railway Station; however, the writ

jurisdiction for challenging the order passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal at Allahabad cannot be exercised by this Court at Lucknow, even though part of the cause of action is at Lucknow.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon Rule 6 of the Central Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1987.

We have gone through the Rules and find that the said rule governs only the original application to be filed before the Central Administrative Tribunal and not the writ jurisdiction to be exercised by this Court. The writ jurisdiction to be exercised by this Court is governed by the Allahabad High Court Rules and the Constitution of India.

This petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition at Allahabad.

The certified copies of all the documents filed with this writ petition shall be returned to the counsel for the petitioner forthwith.

December 19, 2025
Ashish Dewal

(Amitabh Kumar Rai,J.) (Mrs. Sangeeta Chandra,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
ASHISH DEWAL
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench