**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**
**LUCKNOW**

**WRIT - A No. - 14955 of 2025**

Dr. Rajesh Kumar Upadhyay

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Remote Sensing Applications Centre, Lko. Thru. Chairman Governing Body And Others

.....Respondent(s)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Counsel for Petitioner(s) | : | Abhineet Jaiswal |
| Counsel for Respondent(s) | : | Pankaj Kumar |

**Court No. - 18**

**HON'BLE SHREE PRAKASH SINGH, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and, learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The present petition has been filed with the following prayer:

*"To issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the Respondents to forthwith release the substantial portion of post retirement dues viz. entire Leave Encashment and part of Contributory Provident Fund at interest @ MCLR+1% per annum with effect from the date of retirement of the petitioner i.e 31.10.2023 upto the date of actual payment.*

*II. To issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the Respondents to pay interest on delayed payment of Gratuity (i.e. from 31.10.2023 to 08.05.2024) by virtue of Section 7 (A) of the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 and pay interest on delayed payment of General Insurance (i.e. from 31.10.2023 to 05.06.2024).*

*III. To pass such other writ, order or direction as the Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper so as to protect the rights and interests of the Petitioner.*

*IV. Award the costs of the Writ Petition to the Petitioner."*

3. After arguing at length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the ends of justice would sub-serve if, this Court allows the petitioner to move a fresh representation before the respondent no.2 and he may be directed direct to consider and decide the same, within the time fixed by this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to the aforesaid prayer.

5. Be that as it may, without entering into merits of the issue, I hereby **dispose off** this petition finally at the admission stage giving liberty to the petitioner to prefer a fresh representation before the respondent no.2-Director, Remote Sensing Applications Centre, UP, Lucknow ventilating

her grievance taking all pleas and grounds which are available with her enclosing therewith the copy of her earlier representation, if any, which are necessary for disposal of the representation within a period of 15 days from today and if such representation is preferred by the petitioner within the aforesaid stipulated time, the authority concerned shall consider and dispose of the same strictly, by speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, if it is so required, with expedition preferably, within a further period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and the decision thereof shall be intimated to the petitioner, forthwith.

**(Shree Prakash Singh,J.)**

**December 19, 2025**
V. Sinha

Digitally signed by :-
VAISHALI SINHA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad,
Lucknow Bench