IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.951 of 2021
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1251 of 2020

==========

Sanjay Kumar Son of Late Ram Chandra Prasad Resident of Bara Pathal Gali No.14, P.O. and P.S.- Dehri-on-Sone, District- Rohtas.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The South Bihar Power Holding Company Limited Through Mr. Sanjiwan Sinha, General Manager, Vidyut Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna.
2. Mr. Sanjiwan Sinha The General Manager, South Bihar Power Holding Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. Mr. Aatish Kishore The Senior Manager (Personnel), Magadh Electricity Supply Division, Gaya.
4. Mr. Randhir Kumar Sinha The Superintending Executive Engineer, Electricity Supply Division, Dehri.
5. Mr. Somanth Paswan The Electrical Executive Engineer, Electricity Supply Division, Dehri.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amish Kumar, Advocate
For the SBPDCL : Dr. Anand Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Rajan Prakash, Advocate
Ms. Pragya Kiran, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

4 21-08-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed one representation of the petitioner dated 08.12.2021 across the Board and the same is taken on record. A copy of the same is also being supplied to the learned counsel for the respondents in the Court.

2. The present contempt petition has been preferred by the petitioner for the alleged willful disobedience of the order dated 21.01.2020, passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court

WEB COPY

in C.W.J.C. No. 1251 of 2020, whereby learned writ Court has directed the concerned respondent to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 03.10.2019 regarding grant of admissible family pension to the petitioner in terms of Finance Department Letter No. 9505 dated 03.10.1964.

3. The respondents have filed their show cause submitting that in compliance of the order dated 21.01.2020, passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 1251 of 2020, they have passed the order dated 20.10.2021, whereby submitted adoption deed of the petitioner was not valid in terms of Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act, 1956. Hence, they have asked the petitioner to submit order of declaration of competent Court in regard to declaration of the petitioner as adopted son of the deceased, Kamla Kuer.

4. It is further informed by learned counsel for the petitioner that in response to order dated 21.01.2020, the petitioner has filed order of the Civil Court in regard to succession certificate to the respondents on 08.12.2021.

5. However, learned counsel for the respondents submits that he is not aware whether any fresh order has been passed in view of submission of new documents by the petitioner. However, he assures that in case no order has been

WEB COPY

already passed, a fresh order will be passed within one month from today.

6. In view of submission of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition stands disposed of.

7. In case, the petitioner is aggrieved by the merit of the order, he will have liberty to file appropriate legal proceeding against them and in case the required order is not passed within the stipulated time, the petitioner has liberty to revive the present petition.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U			

WEB COPY