IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40296 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-713 Year-2025 Thana- MAJHAULIA District- West Champaran

==========

Karan Singh @ Bhola Singh @ Karan Raj Singh S/o Praduman Singh Resident of Village- Bakhariya, Ward No. 9, P.S.- Majhauliya, Dist.- West Champaran at Bettiah

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binay Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Narayan Singh, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

4 20-08-2026 Heard Mr. Binay Kumar, learned Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Satyendra Narayan Singh, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Majhauliya P.S. Case No. 713 of 2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 112(2), 115(2), 118(1), 110, 303(2), 352 & 3(5) of the BNS.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that, he on exhortation made by the co-accused person, has assaulted over the head of the informant by means of *farsa*, due to which, he sustained serious injury. There is further allegation against other co-accused persons of causing assault by *lathi* and *danda*, besides snatching of valuables of the informant.

WEB COPY

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that the genesis of the occurrence is nothing, but the land dispute pertaining to Khata No. 348 and Khesra No. 1647 for which the parties are at loggerhead. The injuries, which is allegedly attributed to the petitioner, upon examination reported to be simple in nature. It is further argued that considering the nature of the offence in the premise of land dispute, the parties have amicably settled the same and do not willing to pursue the matter. To support the aforesaid contention, a compromise petition has also been placed on record as Annexure P/2. It is further argued that though the petitioner is carrying three criminal antecedent, however, in all the cases, he is presently on bail. The petitioner undertakes that he will fully cooperate in the proceeding of the court.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submits that the petitioner has brutally assaulted the informant and the injury is obviously on his vital part.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the factum of compromise between the parties in the genesis of land dispute; besides the injury is reported to be simple in

WEB COPY

nature, let the petitioner above named be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bettiah in connection with Majhauliya P.S. Case No. 713 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

7. However, before accepting the bail bond of the petitioner, the Court shall verify with respect to the contention of the petitioner regarding simple nature of injury.

(Harish Kumar, J)

sumit/-

U		T	

WEB COPY