IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38177 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-216 Year-2023 Thana- Mufassil District- Khagaria

==========

Sudin Yadav S/o Vijay Yadav R/o Village- Barkhandi Tola, P.S- Muffasil, Dist- Khagaria.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjeet Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 21-08-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 25(1-b)AA, 26(i)(ii), 35 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of two cases and is in custody since 22.01.2026 and the informant alleges that he received secret information that in the field of Jangli Mandal Tola, manufacturing of arms is going on, accordingly, a raiding team was constituted and the police reached the place of occurrence from where Chhedi Yadav and Ranveer Yadav were apprehended and two accused persons fled, the apprehended accused disclosed the name of petitioner and Rahul and from the

WEB COPY

place of occurrence, incriminating articles used for purposes of manufacturing of firearms were recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered in conscious possession and his name transpired in the confessional statement of apprehended accused in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner has antecedent of two cases and if privilege of regular is granted, the petitioner may abscond on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that if privilege of regular bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the trial. It is also submitted that petitioner has remained in custody for nearly seven months.

6. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Khagaria (Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 216 of 2023.

WEB COPY

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father Vijay Yadav.

8. However, it is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that the petitioner after his release is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is trying to deal the trial in any manner, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. **Accordingly, this regular bail stands allowed.**

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY