IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46216 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-459 Year-2025 Thana- GRIYAK District- Nalanda

==========

Satendra Yadav @ Sato Yadav @ Sato S/O Rupa Yadav @ Roopa Yadav R/O village - Giriyak Nichali Bazar, P.S.- Giriyak, District - Nalanda

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

3 21-08-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act, Sections 25(1-B)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act read with Section 111 of BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of six cases and is in custody since 19.02.2026 and from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that two cartridges from possession of Akhilesh along with a motorcycle was seized, further a country made rifle was found concealed under straw at Panchayat Bhawan along with a country made pistol, iron magazine and three cartridges and 57.325 liters of liquor was recovered from

WEB COPY

the semi constructed house of Vijay.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of Akhilesh. It is submitted that confessional statement in police custody does not have any evidentiary value and petitioner was not arrested from the spot. It is also submitted that charges against the petitioner stand framed.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the bail application of the petitioner and submits that petitioner has antecedent of six cases and charges have been framed, as such, trial has commenced and if privilege of regular bail is granted, the petitioner may abscond and try to tamper with the evidence, as allegation is of recovery of arms and ammunition also.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the release the petitioner on bail at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the instant regular bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY