IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48007 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-179 Year-2026 Thana- PALIGANJ District- Patna

==========

Harikant Kumar S/o Akilanand Sharma R/o village- Akhtiyarpur, PS- Paliganj, District- Patna

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumar Rajeev, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Sucheta Yadav, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA

ORAL ORDER

3 20-08-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

02. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Paliganj P.S. Case No. 179 of 2026 registered for the alleged offences under Section 309(4) of BNS.

03. As per prosecution case, the informant has been running a CSP of Punjab National Bank and on 09.04.2026 while the informant has been returning home with his brother after closing the CSP, the miscreants ridding two bikes at gun point snatched the bag of the informant and his brother and their mobile phones. In the bag of the informant there was Rs. 1,50,000/- in cash, laptop, biometric device, passbook and the register of transaction. Similarly, in the bag of the brother of the informant there were tab, mobile charger, purse, diary etc. The

WEB COPY

name of the petitioner transpired during investigation for being one of the robbers.

04. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused but the said confessional statement has no legal sanctity. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, who is a young boy of 23 years. The petitioner is having antecedent of three cases and he is in custody since 11.04.2026. Charge sheet has been submitted.

05. Learned APP vehemently opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned APP submits that the petitioner appears to be habitual offender. The petitioner's name came up in this case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused who was identified on the basis of CCTV footage and when this petitioner has apprehended at his instance recovery of country made pistol, extra magazine, 16 pieces of live cartridges, 2 pieces of empty cartridges and Rs.1,09,380/- in cash were recovered and the whole process was videographed.

WEB COPY

06. Having regard to the nature of allegation coupled with the recovery of looted articles at the instance of the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and hence, his prayer for bail is rejected.

07. The learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

DKS/-

U		T	

WEB COPY