IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48340 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-144 Year-2023 Thana- PASRAHA District- Khagaria

==========

Suddu Singh @ Sudhanshu Singh, S/o Late Tuntun Singh @ Mukund Kumar, R/o Village - Pasraha, P.S. - Pasraha, Dist. - Khagaria.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

3 20-08-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Suppl. Pasraha P.S. Case No. 144 of 2023 lodged on 27.05.2023, for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 307, 34 & 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27(3) of the Arms Act, pending in the court of Judicial Magistrate- First Class, Khagaria.

3. As per the prosecution case, FIR has been lodged against 4 named accused persons including the present petitioner. It has been alleged in the FIR that named accused persons including the petitioner have reached at the place of occurrence by motorcycle and started indiscriminate firing by

WEB COPY

which the gun shot injury took place to the husband of the informant and he died on the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits that petitioner is in custody since 21.11.2023. Counsel further submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is not clean as there are seven criminal cases pending against him and in one case he is acquitted and rest cases, he is on bail. Counsel submits that on previous occasion, case diary along with post-mortem report have been called for. He submits that there is no specific allegation in the FIR. Counsel further submits that due to previous enmity, petitioner's name has figured in this case. He further submits that petitioner is ready to fulfill all conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon him.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that allegation of indiscriminate firing is against petitioner and others. Due to indiscriminate firing, the husband of the informant died on the spot. He also submits that case diary and post-mortem report have come and the cause of death is gun shot injury. Counsel also submits that there are seven criminal antecedents of the petitioner.

WEB COPY

6. Upon perusal of the record, it transpires to this Court that allegation of indiscriminate firing is there and there are eight criminal antecedents of the petitioner including the present case and in total eight cases, three cases are relating to murder.

7. In this background, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Anjali/Somesh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY