IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55006 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-422 Year-2026 Thana- SHASTRINAGAR District- Patna

==========

Amarjeet Raj, S/o Vijay Kumar R/o - Ward No- 27, Akhara Road, Gabhtal (Gavtal), P.s. - Danapur, Dist. - Patna.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Y. C. Verma- Sr. Advocate
Mr. Ghanshyam Tiwary- Advocate
For the State : Ms. Rina Sinha- A.P.P.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 20-08-2026 1. Heard, Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, the learned senior counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Rina Sinha, the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in ABP No.2429 of 2026 arising out of Shastri Nagar P. S. Case No.422 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 316(5) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a Data Entry Operator and the informant alleges that information was received regarding large scale financial irregularities and embezzlement of government fund under Ayushman Bharat Scheme at IGIMS. It is next alleged

WEB COPY

that some patients were shown as cash paying patients instead of beneficiaries under the Scheme resulting in wrongful gain and misappropriation of public money. Further, based on complaint, an Enquiry Committee was constituted which in its interim report prima facie found evidence of embezzlement and misuse of government fund. Further, in the enquiry, several persons were examined associated with the Scheme and four persons namely, Amarjeet Raj (petitioner), Chandan Kumar, Saket Kumar and Abhishek Kumar were found involved through fraudulent insurance claim, fake medical bills, forged documents, diversion of reimbursement and manipulation of hospital beneficiary records. It is also alleged that the aforesaid accused persons, during the enquiry, admitted their involvement in the misconduct in their written statement. Further, the exact embezzled amount cannot be determined and involvement of other accused persons cannot be ruled out.

4. The learned senior counsel for the petitioner, after some argument, seeks permission to withdraw the present anticipatory bail application with liberty to the petitioner to surrender and seek regular bail.

5. Permission is accorded.

6. Accordingly, instant petition is dismissed as

WEB COPY

withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

7. It is made clear that if petitioner surrenders and seeks regular bail, in that event, the learned District Court shall consider the case on its own merit without being prejudiced by the fact that petitioner before this Court withdrew his anticipatory bail application.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	

WEB COPY