IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58318 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-223 Year-2025 Thana- YADOPUR District- Gopalganj

==========

Bipul Rai @ Vipul Ray @ Vipul Singh S/O Late Manager Rai Resident of Village- Sihorwa, Police Station- Jadopur, District- Gopalganj.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Shambhawi
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pronoti Singh

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 21-08-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State, Ms. Pronoti Singh.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 317(5) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023, Sections 25(1-b) (a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act read with Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of seven cases and is in custody since 07.07.2026 and allegation is of recovery of 1807.56 liters of liquor along with a .315 bore country made rifle and two .315 live cartridges from a tractor and a motorcycle and a bicycle were also recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing was

WEB COPY

recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of any of the seized vehicles and came to be implicated based on secret information which is the easiest way to implicate someone. It is also submitted that since petitioner has antecedents under the Excise Act, hence he came to be implicated in the instant case with a false allegation that he was also involved in the instant case based on secret information which is the easiest way to implicate someone without holding a proper investigation.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the bail application of the petitioner and submits that case is not under the Excise Act only rather arms and cartridges are also alleged to have been recovered and if privilege of regular bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the instant regular bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	

WEB COPY