Item No. 39

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

WP(C) No. 591 of 2025

Loitongbam Manimohon Singh

…..Petitioner/s

-Versus-

State of Manipur & 3 Ors.

….Respondent/s

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. GUNESHWAR SHARMA

Order

07.09.2026

[1] Present Mr. P. Tamphamani, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. S. Niranjan, learned G.A. for the State respondent.

[2] By the present petition, the petitioner prayed for a direction to the respondents for releasing the outstanding undisputed bill amount for executing work on behalf of the respondents, i.e., M/o Wangoo Lamkhai to Wangoo Bridge for Public Works Department, Manipur. The matter was referred to the mediation and vide memorandum of settlement dated 25.08.2026 before the mediator, the parties have agreed to finally settle with an undertaking that the balance amount will be paid as per seniority-wise/chronological order of pending payments maintained by the concerned Department. Mediation proceeding dated 28.07.2026 is reproduced below:

MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT

This Memorandum of settlement is made this **25th August, 2026**, at Imphal between ***Loitongbam Manimohan Singh***, the petitioner (hereinafter called as First Party) and **The State of Manipur represented by Principal Secretary (Works) Govt. of Manipur & 3 (three) Others**, whole are the Respondents No.1, 2, 3 & 4 (hereinafter called as Second Parties).

Whereas the First Party and the Second Parties No. 1, 2, 3 & 4 shall collectively be called as the Parties in this memorandum.

Whereas the First party has instituted a writ petition being W.P.(C) No. 591 of 2025 before the High Court of Manipur against the Second Parties No.1, 2, 3 & 4 for seeking relief to release the pending undisputed bill amount of Rs.8.298 lakhs for execution and carrying out the contract work "M/o Wangoo Lamkhai to Wangoo Bridge" which was awarded by Work Order No.EE/TBL/W.O/ R&B(NP)/17-18 dated 27.03.2018. The work was executed with the administrative approval amount of Rs. 39.450 lakhs vide Order dated

12.03.2019. During the pendency of the writ petition the Second party released the amount of Rs. 4,00,000/-(Rupees four lakh) only vide FD No. FR-9/562/2025-e-FD dated 26.03.26 paid to the petitioner out of the claim amount Rs.8.298 lakhs.

Whereas the Second Parties No. 1, 2, 3 & 4 have agreed to pay the remaining claim balance amount of Rs.4.298 lakhs to the First Party

.

Whereas during the pendency of the aforementioned writ petition, the Hon'ble High Court of Manipur was pleased to pass an order on referring the matter to mediation for an amicable settlement.

Whereas, the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement after taking up mediation for 2-3 sittings for the disposal of the abovementioned writ petition on the following terms and conditions hereunder, and have agreed terms and conditions to writing to avoid any difficulties in the future.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. That, The Second Parties, Department have acknowledged that the bill amounts claimed by the First Party for execution of the above work order is undisputed and reasonable.

2. That the Second Parties has verified the above work order executed by the First Party.

3. That, the Second Parties has already made part payment to the First Party towards the said bill amount, amounting of Rs. 4,00,000/-(Rupees four lakh) only vide FD No. FR-9/562/2025-e-FD dated 26.03.26 paid to the First Party out of the claim amount Rs.8.298 lakhs.

4. That, First Party and Second Parties agreed remaining balance amount shall be released in favour of the First Party in accordance with seniority-wise/ chronological order of pending payments maintained by the concerned Department.

5. Both the parties agreed that the payment will be made without further delay as per availability of funds and in accordance with departmental Procedure.

6. That in view of the above terms, the First Party and the Second Parties amicably agreed that the said Writ Petition (C) No. 591 of 2026 pending before the Hon'ble High Court shall be disposed of.

[3] Mr. P. Tamphamani, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the matter may be disposed of in terms of the mediation proceeding.

[4] Mr. S. Niranjan, learned G.A. for the State respondent, supports the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner.

[5] Considering the settlement in the mediation, writ petition is disposed of in terms of the above.

JUDGE

Kh. Joshua Maring

KH. JOSHUA MARING

Digitally signed by KH. JOSHUA MARING
Date: 2026.09.08 14:42:50 +05'30'