Serial No. 06
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

Crl.M.C.No.114 of 2026 Date of Order: 29.06.2026

Shri. Ronald Lyngdoh Marshillong,
Son of Shri. Yearless Shangdiar
R/o Mawlangwir Village, Mawkyrwat
South West Khasi Hills District,
Meghalaya.

…..Appellant

-VERSUS-

1. **The State of Meghalaya** represented by
The Secretary, Home Department
Govt. of Meghalaya, Shillong.

2. **The Superintendent of Police**,
South West Hills District,
Govt. of Meghalaya, Mawkyrwat.

3. **The Officer-in-Charge**
Women P.S. Mawkyrwat
Govt. of Meghalaya,
South West Khasi Hills, Mawkyrwat.

…..Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice W. Diengdoh, Judge

Hon'ble Mr. Justice B. Bhattacharjee, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Appellant(s) : Mr. K.S. Kharshiing, Adv

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. N.D. Chullai, AAG with
Mr. E.R. Chyne, GA

---

i) Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc.: Yes/No

ii) Whether approved for publication in press: Yes/No

Per W. Diengdoh, (J):

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. Heard Mr. K.S. Kharshiing, learned counsel, who has submitted that the applicant has preferred an appeal before this Court, against the impugned judgment and order dated 27.03.2026 passed by the Court of learned Special Judge (POCSO), Mawkyrwat in Special POCSO Case No.11 of 2021.

2. However, while preferring an appeal, a delay of 30 days has occurred, the reason being that the applicant belongs to a poor and illiterate family with no knowledge of the legal procedure for filing an appeal and that he required time to seek legal advice and arrange for the engagement of competent counsel. Further, the applicant did not possess proper case records, as they had already been obtained by the co-convict for filing his separate appeal. Consequently, the applicant had to apply for certified copies of the judgment and other relevant documents from this Hon'ble High Court, which were received on 08.06.2026, resulting in the delay. It is therefore, prayed that the delay be condoned and the appeal be admitted.

3. Mr. N.D. Chullai, learned AAG appearing for the State-respondents has no objection to the prayer for condonation of delay.

4. On consideration of the submission made, we find that there are good grounds for the appeal to be heard on merits. Accordingly, the delay of 30 days in preferring the appeal is hereby condoned.

5. Registry is directed to diarize the appeal and list it for admission after 1 (one) week.

6. Misc.Case disposed of.

(B. Bhattacharjee)
Judge

(W. Diengdoh)
Judge

Meghalaya
29.06.2026
"Shrity,PS"

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by SHRITY CH MOMIN
Date: 2026.06.29 17:18:43 IST