GAHC040007942026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/20/2026

Kiyang Mize and 5 Ors
permanent Resident of Bolung, PO an PS Roing, Lower Dibang Valley District,
Arunachal Pradesh. 2: Ruchu Niji
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Taru Niji
residing at Lekhi Village
PO and PS Naharlagun
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Bengia Kagung
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Bengia Tajak
permanent resident of Pingang Village
PO and PS Koloriang
Kurung Kumey District
Arunachal Pradesh.

4: Mindu Phuntso
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Shri Domchung Phuntso
permanent resident of Village Bongleng
PO Mukto
PS Jang
Tawang District
Arunachal Pradesh.

5: Gungli (Loa) Tagang
Age:
Occupation :

Son of Late Loa (Gungli) Tache
permanent resident of Pathuk Village
PO Parsi Parlo
PS Koloriang
Kurung Kumey District
Arunachal Pradesh.

6: Puluk Gadi
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Ikpu Gadi
permanent resident of Degom Village
PO and PS Tirin
Leparada District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

Manish Kumar Gupta and Anr
IAS, The Chief Secretary, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar. 2:A K Singh
Age: 0
Occupation :
Commissioner (Power)
Department of Power
Itanagar
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Bedanta Kaushik, Takar Bagang,Pankaj Borah

Advocate for the Respondent : Lissing Perme,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

Date : 10.09.2026

(S.K. Medhi, J.)

Heard Shri T. Bagang, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Shri N. Ratan, learned Counsel for respondent 1 and Shri L. Perme, learned Counsel for respondent no. 2.

Shri Perme, learned Counsel has placed before this Court a copy of the memorandum dated 30.08.2026 issued by the Commissioner, Power as per which the order of this Court dated 13.02.2026 passed in WA/28/2024 have been complied with. A copy of the said memorandum along with the enclosures has been furnished to Shri Bagang, learned Counsel for the petitioners.

In view of the aforesaid development, we are of the opinion that there is no requirement to continue further with this contempt petition and accordingly the same is closed.

The copy of the memorandum dated 30.08.2026 along with the enclosures shall made part of the record.

JUDGE **JUDGE**

Comparing Assistant