GAHC040013472026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : AB/105/2026

Takot Tamut Son of Late Tamer Tamut, resident of Ralung under Lorging Village, PO Pessing, PS Rumgong, Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP represented by the PP of AP

Advocate for the Petitioner : Tabit Tapak, T Taki,Bebeng Siboh,Aso Dalbong,T Tabing
Advocate for the Respondent : P P of AP,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG

ORDER

Date : 09.09.2026

Heard Mr. Bebeng Siboh, learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the applicant. Also heard Mr. Token Ete, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor, Arunachal Pradesh.

2. This application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed by the applicant seeking grant of pre-arrest bail apprehending his arrest in connection with Rumgong P.S. Case No. 08/2026 registered under Sections 85/351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. By order dated 18.08.2026, while calling for the scanned copy of the Case

Diary, this Court granted interim pre-arrest bail to the accused and directed that, in the event of the arrest of the applicant in connection with Rumgong P.S. Case No. 08/2026 registered under Section 85/351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, he shall be released on interim pre-arrest bail on furnishing of a bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- subject to certain conditions, including the condition that he shall appear before the investigating officer within 10(ten) days from the date of the order and cooperate with the investigation.

4. Mr. Siboh, learned counsel for the applicant, submits that in compliance with the said directions, the applicant appeared before the investigating officer on 31.08.2026 and on such appearance, the investigating officer recorded the statement of the accused. The learned counsel further submits that since the accused is now cooperating with the investigation of the case, his further custodial interrogation/remand is no longer required and he, accordingly, prays that the interim pre-arrest bail granted to the accused vide order dated 18.08.2026, may be made absolute.

5. Mr. Ete, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor, Arunachal Pradesh, while referring to the Case Diary, submits that the accused appeared before the investigating officer of the case on 31.08.2026 and his statement was also recorded. He further submits that, since the accused is now cooperating with the investigation of the case, his further custodial interrogation/remand is not presently required. He, therefore, has no objection if the interim pre-arrest bail granted to the accused, is made absolute, subject to such additional conditions as may be necessary to ensure his continued cooperation with the investigation of the case.

6. I have considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsels for the parties and also perused the available record.

7. In view of the above, and having regard to the fact that in pursuant to the interim pre-arrest bail order dated 18.08.2026, passed by the Court, the accused appeared before the investigating officer on 31.08.2026 and got his statement recorded and, also considering the fact that he is now cooperating with the investigation; it appears that his further custodial interrogation/remand is no longer required, at this stage. No any material has also been brought to the notice of this Court indicating any violation of the bail conditions imposed upon the applicant. Accordingly, the interim pre-arrest bail granted to the accused by order dated 18.08.2026, is hereby made absolute on the same bail bond and surety, subject, however, to the following additional terms and conditions, that:-

(i). he shall continue to cooperate with the investigating officer of the case for further investigation of the case and shall appear before him as and when called for;

(ii). he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer, nor, he shall tamper with the evidence;

(iii). he shall not indulge in any activity which would either, hamper, or, hinder the investigation of the case; and

(iv). he shall not leave the State of Arunachal Pradesh without prior intimation of the investigating officer of the case;

8. This order shall be read along with the earlier bail order, dated 18.08.2026.

9. With the above directions, this anticipatory bail application stands disposed of.

10. Return the Case Diary to the learned Addl. Public Prosecutor, Arunachal Pradesh, forthwith.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant