GAHC040016022026

# THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
## (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
## (ITANAGAR BENCH)

**Case No. : WP(C)/461/2026**

Wangsey Wangsu
Son of Shri Sompha Wangsu, resident of Chopnu Village, PO and PS Kanubari,
Longding District, Arunachal Pradesh. (Father and guardian of minor child Ms M)

VERSUS

The State of AP and 2 Ors
represented by the Commissioner, Department of Women and Child Development,
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar. 2:The Chairperson
Age: 0
Occupation :
Child Welfare Committee
Yupia
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Superintendent / InCharge
Age: 0
Occupation :
Children's Home
Oju Welfare Association/ Sishu Bhawan
Naharlagun
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : Minter Karbak, Preety Moyong,Tana Rini,Lata Beyong,Eho Menjo

**Advocate for the Respondent** : GA (AP), Legal Aid Counsel,k N Maling

**BEFORE**
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**
**ORDER**

**29.09.2026**

Heard Mr. E. Menjo, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. T. Wangmo, learned Government Advocate for the State respondent No. 1, and Ms. K. Nada, learned Legal Aid Counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

**2.** By filing this writ petition, the petitioner seeks a direction to the appropriate authority, more particularly respondent No. 2, to consider and dispose of the representation dated 08.09.2026 submitted by the petitioner.

**3.** Vide order dated 24.09.2026, this Court directed the learned Government Advocate for the State respondent No. 1 to obtain instructions in the matter.

**4.** However, Ms. Wangmo, learned Government Advocate, on instructions, submits that the representation dated 08.09.2026 submitted by the petitioner before respondent No. 2/the Chairperson, Child Welfare Committee, Yupia, seeking restoration of the custody of his minor daughter to him, has already been considered and rejected.

**5.** In view of the above submission, Mr. Menjo, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, since the representation has already been considered, the present writ petition has become infructuous and, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the same.

**6.** Prayer is allowed.

**7.** The writ petition stands disposed of as withdrawn and having been rendered infructuous.

**JUDGE**

*Sabnam Mepo (SPA)*