GAHC010058322025

2026:GAU-AS:15335-DB

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/1295/2025

THE UCO BANK AND 7 ORS
HEAD OFFICE AT 10BTM SARANI, (BRABOURNE ROAD), KOLKATA 1

2: THE GENERAL MANAGER

UCO BANK
PRESONAL DEPTT.
12 OLD COURT HOUSE STREET
KOLKATA
WEST BENGAL-1

3: THE DY. GENERAL MANAGER

UCO BANK
PERSONAL DEPTT.
12 OLD COURT HOUSE STREET
KOLKATA
WEST BENGAL-1

4: THE CIRCLE HEAD

N.E. CIRCLE
UCO BANK
SILPUKHURI
P.O. SILPUKHURI
GUWAHATI
ASSAM 781003

5: THE ZONAL MANAGER

UCO BANK
SILPUKHURI
P.O. SILPUKHURI
GUWAHATI

ASSAM 781003

6: THE MANAGER

UCO BANK
DIBRUGARH
BRANCH
R.K. BORDOLOI PATH
THANACHARALI
P.O. DIBRUGARH
ASSAM 786001

7: THE CHIEF MANAGER

UCO BANK
BHUBENESWAR ZONAL OFFICE
UCO BANK BUILDING
3RD FLOOR
C-2
ASHOK NAGAR UNIT II
BHUBENESWAR 09

8: THE CHIEF MANAGER

UCO BANK
HALDIA BRANCH
SUPER MARKET BUILDING
P.O. DURGACHAK PUBRO MEDINIPUR
WEST BENGAL 7216

VERSUS

SAMSUL ISLAM
S/O LT. ABDUR RAHIM, R/O GRAHAM BAZAR, DIBRUGARH, P.O. AND DIST. DIBRUGARH, ASSAM 786001

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M SHARMA, MS. S YASMIN,MR H K SARMA,MR P AGARWAL

Advocate for the Respondent : K RAHMAN, MR. SAIDUL ALOM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR

ORDER

Date : 12.10.2026
(K.R. Surana, J)

Heard Mr. M. Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants.

2. Mr. K. Rahman, learned counsel for the respondent and opposes the prayer for condonation of delay of 12 (twelve) days in filing the connected appeal.

3. By referring to the affidavit-in-opposition filed by the learned counsel for the respondent, it was submitted that the explanation for the delay is indicative of casual handling of the matter and therefore, there was deliberate negligence and laches on the part of the applicant in filing the connected appeal.

4. In view of the reasons assigned that some time has taken to take a decision to file an appeal in this application, the Court is inclined to accept same as good and sufficient cause which has prevented the applicants to file the connected appeal on time.

5. Accordingly, the prayer made in the interlocutory application is allowed and this application stands disposed of.

6. The Registry is directed to list the connected appeal in the admission column.

7. The Registry shall reflect the name of the learned counsel for the sole respondent in the cause list while listing the connected appeal.

JUDGE **JUDGE**

Comparing Assistant