GAHC010141282026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : W.P.(Crl.)/31/2026

SHIV SHAKTI DRUG HOUSE BATTALA
RONALDSAY ROAD, AGARTALA, WEST TRIPURA DISTRICT, TRIPURA - 799001 REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR SMTI. SHILPI DAS SAHA, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS, DAUGHTER OF LATE ANIL CHANDRA DAS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS
THROUGH SECRETARY HOME, JANATA BHAWAN (ASSAM SECRETARIAT) COMPLEX, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-781006

2:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
ASSAM POLICE HEAD QUARTERS

ULUBARI
ASSAM
GUWAHATI- 781007

3:THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
CHURAIBARI WATCH POST
KARIMGANJ DISTRICT
ASSAM- 788726.

4:SRI NIRENJAN DAS
SUB- INSPECTOR
CHURAIBARI WATCH POST SRIBHUMI DISTRICT
ASSAM- 788726

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. U K BARMAN, MR. R KONWAR,MR D PEGU,MR H ALI

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN

ORDER

14.10.2026

Heard Mr. H. Ali, learned counsel for the petitioner and also heard Ms. D.D. Barman, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate, Assam, appearing for the respondent Nos.1—3.

2. In this petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the seizure of lawful pharmaceuticals belonging to the petitioner, in connection with G.D. Entry No.399 of Churaibari Watch Post of Sribhumi, Assam.

3. Mr. Ali, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has relevant documents for running the business of pharmaceuticals and he had ordered and received consignment of Eskuf DX from Daffodil Drugs Pvt. Ltd., Kolkata, but, the said consignment was seized by police on 24.12.2025 during transit and despite production of relevant documents, the same were not released till date.

4. But, today, Ms. Barman, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate has produced one notice issued to M/s Shiv Shakti Drug House by the I/C, Churaibari Watch Post, Sribhumi District, that though the seized articles have been released on zimma, vide communication dated 14.09.2026 and till date, the petitioner has not been taking zimma of the same because of the pendency of this petition.

5. Since the seized articles have already been released and the petitioner has been informed, this petition becomes infructuous, as nothing left to be decided and accordingly, this petition stands closed. However, liberty will remain with the petitioner to approach this Court again, if the seized articles have not been found in order.

6. The notice produced before the Court today by Ms. Barman shall be tagged with the record.

Sd/- *Robin Phukan*
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant