GAHC010174112020

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5459/2020

AS PER, HON'BLE COURT'S ORDER DTD. 13/6/22 PETITIONER NO 1 GS 196492N OEM MANJUL PHUKAN
IS STRUCK OFF AND 18 ORS S/O LATE L.N. PHUKAN, 1523 RMOL/110 RCC, C/O 56 APO PERMANENT RESIDENT OF VILLAGE NO 2 POWA SAIKIA DHEMAJI PO NORUATHAN DISTRICT DHEMAJI ASSAM PIN 787058

2: GS 185523 H UDC JAWAHAR LAL PRASAD
HQ 753-TF/HMK
C/O 56 APO

3: GS 189685 L LDC SONTOSH KUMAR SARMA
PASSED 332 RMPL/51
RCC/50 TF

4: AS PER HON'BLE COURT'S ORDER DTD. 07/4/21 PETITIONER NO. 4 GS 191992 F MSN
MONOJ KUMAR IS STRUCK OFF
398 RMPL/130
RCC

5: GS 191986 N MSN MANISH KUMAR SINGH

204 PWPL/1445
RCC

6: GS 187541 K PNR RAJ NARAYAN PRASAD
HQ CE(P) ANURAK
C/O 99 APO

7: GS 187396 H CARP PRAMOD KUMAR
67 RCC/HIRAK
/C/O 56 APO

8: GS 193400 L PNR PANKAJ KUMAR

1611 PC/50 TF (P)HMK

9: GS 192049 H MSN ANAND KUMAR SINGH
1447 BCC/(P) HIRAK

10: GS 186999 F PNR
ARJUN SINGH 1649 PC C/O 56 APO

11: GS 183824 N MSN BAYASHAKAR SAHEBRAO JANRAO
424 RMPL/1446 BCC/572 TF

12: GS 191975 MSN RAMESH KUMAR
494/105 RCC HQ BMK

13: GS 186928 A PNR ROSHAN SUNAM
1604 PC/24 TF/HQ
CE (P) PSK

14: AS PER HON'BLE COURT'S ORDER DTD. 15/06/21 PETITIONER NO. 14
IS STRUCK OFF

15: GS 193182 X PNR PAWAR BALU SAHEBRAO
518 SS AND TC/48 TF/UDK

16: AS PER HON'BLE COURT'S ORD. DTD. 15/6/21
PETITIONER NO 16 IS STRUCK OFF

17: GS 187531 A PNR
MURARI KUMAR MISHRA 1606 PC/763 TF/(P) VTK

18: AS PER HON'BLE COURT'S ORDER DTD. 23.11.2022
PASSED IN
I.A. (C) 3280/2022
THE PETITIONER NO.-18
GS 189570 X RR DVR MAHESH CHANDRA KHARKWAL
46 BR (I) TF (P) PSK
IS STRUCK OFF

19: GS 183360 F MSN SUBHASH DAS
130 RCC C/O 99 AP

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 15 ORS.
REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT, NEW DELHI-110003.

2:SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF DEGENCE
NEW DELHI-110003.

3:SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSION (DEPTT. OF PERSONNEL AND TRAINING) NEW DELHI-110003.

4:STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION

BLOCK NO.12
CGO COMPLEX
LODHI ROAD
NOW DELHI-110003.

5:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL

BORDER ROADS ORGANISATION
SENA SADAK BHAWAN
NEW DELHI-110003.

6:THE SENIOR RECORDS OFFICER

RECORD OFFICE
GENERAL RESERVE ENGINEER FORCE
DIGHI CAMP
PUNE-411015.

7:ADGBR (EAST)

GUWAHATI
BRO COMPLEX
LANKESWAR
VIP ROAD
C/O. 99 APO
PIN-781014.

8:THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PROJECT HIMANK
C/O. 56 APO
PIN-931710.

9:THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PROJECT SWASTIC

C/O. 99 APO
PIN-931717.

10:THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PROJECT ARUNAK C/O. 99 APO
PIN-961719.

11:THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PROJECT STF HIRAK
C/O. 56 APO
PIN-931724.

12:THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PROJECT BRAHMANK
C/O. 99 APO
PIN-931722.

13:THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PROJECT BRAHMANK
C/O. 99 APO
PIN-931711.

14:THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PROJECT UDYAK
C/O. 99 APO
PIN-931715

15:THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PROJECT VARTAK
C/O. 56 APO
PIN-931716.

16:THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PROJECT SAMPARK
C/O. 56 APO
PIN-931712

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. P MAHANTA, MS. P SAHARIA

Advocate for the Respondent : ASSTT.S.G.I., MRS. R DEVI

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI

ORDER

05.10.2026

Heard Shri P. Mahanta, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Ms. R. Devi, learned CGC.

This case is pending since the year 2020 and on the last occasion, this Court had recorded the submission of Shri Mahanta, learned counsel for the petitioners that there were unconfirmed reports that the petitioners had got the relief.

The matter pertains to a claim for promotion to Group-B Junior Engineer (Civil) from Group-C. There are 19 nos. of petitioners and they have raised a common grievance that their lengths of services and experiences have not been taken into proper consideration.

Ms. Devi, learned CGC has however submitted that in the meantime, out of 19 nos. of petitioners, 15 nos. of petitioners have been promoted after consideration of their cases and 4 nos. of petitioners being petitioner nos. 7, 9, 10 and 17 could not be promoted as they could not be qualified in the DPC.

This Court is of the opinion that since the grievance of the 15 nos. of petitioners have been redressed and it also appears that the remaining 4 nos. of petitioners were also considered in which they have failed in the DPC, the continuation of the writ petition is not necessary.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands closed.

Liberty is however given to the 4 nos. of petitioners to approach appropriate forum for redressal of their grievances, if they are aggrieved by the action of the

respondent authorities by which they have been held to be unfit for such promotion.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant