GAHC010183692026

2026:GAU-AS:15665

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Crl.)/890/2026

ROHIT BORO
S/O RAJEN BORO RESIDENT OF DHULABARI SARANPARA P.O PS TAMALPUR, DIST. BAKSA, ASSAM

VERSUS

STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR
REPRESENTED BY ITS LD. PP, ASSAM

2:SMT SUMA DEBNATH
WIFE OF SAJAL DEBNATH RESIDENT OF 03 DARAN DEKA PATH NEAR GAYAN SCHOOL JYOTIKUCHI PS DISPUR GUWAHATI EAST ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR A BHATTACHARYA, MS. K MALAKAR,MS K TALUKDAR,MR. A BHATTACHARJEE,S J BASAK,N MECH

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR

ORDER

Date : 14.10. 2026

Heard Mr. A. Bhattacharjee, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. B. Sarma, learned Addl. P.P. for the State of Assam.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner stated that inadvertently the informant in the connected FIR had not been impleaded as a party respondent in the connected bail petition. He has accordingly submitted that he may be allowed to file an amended cause title in the bail application, after allowing the impleadment of the opposite party No.2 herein as respondent No.2 in the bail petition. The prayer is considered and allowed.

3. The Opposite No.2 herein shall be impleaded as the respondent No.2 in the connected bail petition.

4. The I.A. is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant