GAHC010219262026

2026:GAU-AS:15816

# THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
## (HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

**Case No. : Bail Appln./3182/2026**

RASHID ALI PARAMANIK
SON OF LATE SONAULLAH PARAMANIK, VILLAGE JOLDOBA PART I, P S KAZIGAON, DISTRICT KOKRAJHAR, BTR, ASSAM, PIN 783334.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. M U MONDAL, MR K ISLAM,MR A ALIM SK

**Advocate for the Respondent** : PP, ASSAM,

**BEFORE**
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA**

**ORDER**

**Date : 15-10-2026**

1. Heard Mr. K. Islam, the learned counsel appearing for the accused-applicant. Also heard Ms. A. Begum, the learned Addl. P.P, Assam appearing for the State.

2. This is an application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023,

praying for granting bail to the accused-applicant, namely **Rashid Ali Paramanik** in connection with **Kazigaon P.S. Case No.60/2025** registered under Sections **61(1)(b)/132/303(2)/351(3)** of the BNS, 2023 read with Sections **24(b)/25/41** of the Assam Forest Regulation, 1891.

3. An FIR was lodged by one SI Nizwam Narzary before the O/C, Kazigaon Police Station on 05.09.2025, alleging that on 04.09.2025, on receipt of information about illegal cutting of Shaal trees from the reserve forest, the police arrived at Tumbagan Ghat and saw one hydraulic tractor already unloaded and another tractor loaded with Shaal timbers parked near Gadadhar river for transportation. The police apprehended one Saiful Islam of Tamarhat. However, at that point of time, a lot of people came to the place, armed with dao, sticks and other weapons and obstructed the police from doing their duties. In fact, one of the police personnel was assaulted by the aforesaid Saiful Islam. Police recovered the Shaal trees and arrested Saiful Islam.

4. On receipt of the FIR, police registered Kazigaon P.S. Case No.60/2025 under the aforementioned sections.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the accused-applicant submits that the accused-applicant is not involved in the instant case and he has been arrested only on the basis of suspicion. He further submits that the accused-applicant is already behind bars for 26 days. Therefore, he submits that the accused-applicant should be granted bail at this stage.

6. The learned Addl. P.P. has produced the case diary and has also

objected to the prayer for bail.

7. Taking into account the entire facts of the case and the length of detention of the accused-applicant, this Court is of the opinion that the accused-applicant should be granted bail.

8. Accordingly, it is directed that the accused-applicant, named above, shall be released forthwith from jail on furnishing of a bail bond of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) with a suitable surety of like nature to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Kokrajhar subject to the following conditions:-

i) That the accused-applicant shall cooperate with the investigation and make himself available as and when called for by the Investigating Authority; and

ii) That the accused-applicant shall not hamper or tamper with the investigation in any manner.

9. In terms of the aforesaid directions, the instant bail application is disposed of as allowed.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**