GAHC010221822026

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3157/2026

SAMAR UDDIN
, SON OF MOIN UDDIN, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE SANDALPUR, P.O. JAGANNATHI, P.S.- KARIMGANJ, DISTRICT- SRIBHUMI, ASSAM. .

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE P.P ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : A RAHMAN, MR. N D SARMA,MR. S NAG

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 15.10.2026

Heard Mr. S. Nag, learned counsel for the accused applicant. Also heard Mr. K.K. Parasar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State.

2. This is an application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for grant of bail to the accused applicant namely **Samar Uddin,** in connection with G.R. Case No.911/2022 corresponding to PRC No.2504/2024 arising out of Sribhumi P.S. Case No.296/2022, charge-sheeted under Sections 420/406/376 of the IPC.

3. After filing of the charge-sheet, the case is pending before the Sessions Judge, Sribhumi. It is seen that due to non-appearance of the accused applicant in the trial, warrant was issued against the accused applicant and in terms of the warrant, the accused applicant was arrested on 18.09.2026 and since then, the accused applicant is behind the bars.

4. The learned counsel for the accused applicant submits that the accused applicant was working in Dubai and due to that fact, he had remained absent on certain dates. Now he has come back and residing in home and he is willing to appear before the trial Court.

5. Taking into account the aforesaid, the learned counsel for the accused applicant submits that the accused applicant should be granted bail at this stage.

6. Scanned copy of the TCR has been received and the same is perused.

7. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer for bail citing that the next date is fixed on 31.10.2026 for appearance and therefore, he submits that at this stage, bail should not be granted.

8. This Court has considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and has gone through the materials available on record.

9. Taking into account the materials available before this Court as well as the fact that the accused applicant is willing to appear before the trial Court, this Court is of the view that bail should be granted to the accused applicant.

10. Accordingly, it is directed that the accused applicant named above shall be released on bail immediately on furnishing bail bond of Rs.50,000/- with one surety of like nature to the satisfaction of learned Sessions Court, Sribhumi subject to the following conditions:-

(i) the accused applicant shall appear on 31.10.2026 as fixed by the trial Court

without fail;

(ii) the accused applicant shall provide all the details of Pan Card, Adhar Card, Driving Licence and Passport, if any to the learned Sessions Court, Sribhumi

(iii) the accused applicant shall not leave the jurisdiction of the Sessions Court, Sribhumi, without prior written permission from the Trial Court.

In terms of the above, the instant application is disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant