GAHC010225022026

2026:GAU-AS:15790

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Bail Appln./3213/2026

SMTI. LALITA KALAWADIA
WIFE OF- MUKESH KALWADIA, RESIDENT OF- HARI APARTMENT, P S BHALARAMUKH, DISTRICT- KAMRUP METRO, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP. BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. D MAZUMDAR, N J LOUCU,C V INTONG,MS. S KANUNGOE

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR

ORDER

Date : 15.10.2026

Heard Ms. S. Kanungoe, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. R. J. Baruah, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

2. This is an application under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 whereby the petitioner, **Smti Lalita Kalawadia**, has prayed for granting her bail after she was arrested in connection with Dispur P.S. Case No.639/2026 under Section 108 of BNS, 2023

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was arrested on 28.08.2026. The petitioner is the mother of a co-accused, where allegations have been raised that the son of the petitioner was in a relationship with the deceased victim, who had ended her life. The counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the further custodial interrogation of the petitioner would not be required and she has also submitted that her son has been granted the privilege of interim pre-arrest bail to appear for his examination.

4. Learned APP has submitted that the case diary is awaited. He has submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are grave and since they relate to the abetment of suicide, the petitioner may not be granted the privilege of the bail.

5. I have considered the submission made and gone through the materials available in the bail petition and also noted that the petitioner is a lady of 46 years and is in custody since 28.08.2026.

6. In the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the considered opinion that further custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required at this stage.

7. Accordingly, it is provided that the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing a bond of **Rs.10,000/-** with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned **CJM, Kamrup (M)**, who shall also be at liberty to impose such other conditions as may be deemed necessary to ensure the continued participation of the petitioner in the investigation of this case.

8. The bail application is disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant