GAHC010231902026

2026:GAU-AS:15769

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2677/2026

SRI BABLU DEY
S/O. SRI BADAL DEY, R/O. LACHIT PATH, ODALBAKRA, LALGANESH, GUWAHATI - 781034, DIST. KAMRUP M, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A GANGULY, MR. DHANANJAY TALUKDAR,MR. TAPOBRAT CHHETRI

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 15-10-2026

Heard Mr. A. Ganguly, learned counsel appearing for the accused applicant and Ms. A. Begum, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This is an application under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for grant of pre-arrest bail to the accused applicant in connection with Kahilipara

P.S. Case No. 06/2026, corresponding to G.R. No. 3922/2026, under Sections 13/14 of the Assam Game and Betting Act, 1970.

3. The gist of the case is that on the basis of an FIR lodged by SI(P) *Dinesh Chutia* of Kahilipara Police Station on 08.09.2026 alleging that on that day, at about 1 p.m., acting on a tip off, a team led by the informant and his staff caught two persons while they were selling illegal TEER tickets at Kahilipara Road near Sabitri Bharali School, Odalbakra and during the search, four numbers of copy books containing suspected TEER numbers, total four numbers of blank slip books containing pieces of blank papers, two numbers of mobile phones of Motorola and Vivo brands containing various Whatsapp messages related to TEER tickets and a total sum of Rs. 750/- in cash were seized upon preparing seizure list, and as per their statements, they were doing the business under the ownership of one *Dipu Dey* and *Bablu Dey* of Lachit Path Odalbakra.

4. On receipt of the FIR, the police registered the Kahilipara P.S. Case No. 06/2026 under the aforementioned sections.

5. Mr. Ganguly, learned counsel appearing for the accused applicant, submits that the accused applicant is not involved in selling TEER tickets. In fact, he is a social activist of the locality who is fighting against illegal betting and gambling and illicit liquor in the locality. He submits that the accused applicant is apprehending arrest as his name was taken by one of the arrested persons. He further submits that *Sri Dipu D. Kumar Dey @ Dipu Dey*, whose name was also taken by the arrested persons, has been granted bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 14.10.2026 in AB No. 2654 of 2026. In view of the aforesaid, he submits that the accused applicant should also be granted the privilege of pre-arrest bail and that the accused applicant is willing to cooperate

with the investigation.

6. Ms. Begum, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail of the accused applicant.

7. Taking into account the entire facts of the case and also taking note of the fact that a coordinate Bench of this Court had, on 14.10.2026, granted pre-arrest bail to a person whose name was taken by the arrested persons, this Court is of the considered opinion that the accused applicant should be granted pre-arrest bail at this stage.

8. Accordingly, it is directed that, in the event of the arrest of the accused applicant, namely, *Sri Bablu Dey*, in connection with Kahilipara P.S. Case No. 06/2026, he shall be released on pre-arrest bail upon furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting authority, subject to the conditions:

i. that the accused applicant shall appear before the investigating officer within 10 (ten) days from today and thereafter, as and when called for;

ii. that the accused applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person, who may be acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade such person from disposing such facts before the investigating authority against the accused applicant;

iii. that the accused applicant shall not directly or indirectly attempt to hamper with the investigation and tamper with the evidence of the case in any manner.

9. In terms of the aforesaid direction, the instant anticipatory bail application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant