GAHC030000882026

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/39/2026

ZWO (Zofa Welfare Organization) r/b K. Darsailova, President, ZWO
Mizoram Societies Registration Act, 2006 bearing Redg No. MSR-477 of 12.11.2007 of 2012

VERSUS

The State of Mizoram r/b the Chief Secretary and 6 Ors.
Aizawl, Mizoram

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. Rosalynn L Hmar
Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA, Mizoram

Linked Case : PIL/3/2022

ZWO (Zofa Welfare Organization) R/b Rev. Robawia
President
ZWO
Aizawl
Mizoram

VERSUS

State of Mizoram and 6 Ors.
Aizawl
Mizoram

2:The Secretary/ Under Secretary
Home Department
Aizawl
Aizawl
Mizoram

3:The Chairman/ Secretary
Planning Programme and Implementation Department

Aizawl
Mizoram

4:The Inspector General of Prison
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl
Mizoram.

5:Principal Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Finance Dept.
Aizawl
Mizoram.

6:The Home Secretary
Ministry of Home Affairs
Govt. of India
North Block
Cabinet Secretariat
Raisina Hill
New Delhi
Pin- 110001.

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. Rosalynn L Hmar
Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA Mizoram

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

Date : 03.03.2026
(Budi Habung, J.)

Heard Ms. Rosalynn L. Hmar, learned counsel for the applicant.

This is an application under Order 1 Rule 10(2) *read with* Order 1 Rule 8A of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, for impleadment of the names of 8(eight) parties mentioned in paragraph No. 2 of this application, as respondents in the connected PIL petition.

The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the impleadment of the parties mentioned in paragraph No. 2 of this application, is necessary as they are the contractors who had carried-out the renovation work and construction projects of different jails in the State of Mizoram in terms of the approved designs, drawings and specifications.

Ms. Linda L. Fambawl, learned Addl. Advocate General, Mizoram, and Ms. Zairemsangpuii, learned CGC, appearing on behalf of their respective Opposite Parties, have no objection to the impleadment, as sought for by the applicant.

Prayer, as sought for, is allowed.

In view of the above, Registry shall implead the names of the parties mentioned in paragraph No. 2 of this interlocutory application as respondents No. 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 & 15 in the connected PIL.

The interlocutory application, accordingly, stands allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE **JUDGE**

Comparing Assistant