GAHC030007132023

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/166/2023

Sh. Lalramtiama
S/o Rualkhuma (L),
R/o Mission Veng, Aizawl, Mizoram

VERSUS

The State of Mizoram and 5 Ors.
Represented by the Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram

2:The Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram

3:The Director

4:The Deputy Director (Administration)

5:The Assistant General Manager

6:Sh. Raymond Lalmuanpuia (L)
Substituted by H. Lalthlenglian

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr Zoramchhana

Advocate for the Respondent : Mr. L.H. Lianhrima, Sr.Adv. for R6

Linked Case : RFA/54/2023

Sh. Lalramtiama
S/o Rualkhuma (L)

R/o Mission Veng
Aizawl
Mizoram

VERSUS

The State of Mizoram and 5 Ors.
Represented by the Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram

2:The Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Higher and Technical Education Department
Aizawl
Mizoram

3:The Director
Higher and Technical Education Department
Aizawl
Mizoram

4:The Deputy Director (Administration)
Directorate of Higher and Technical Education
Aizawl
Mizoram

5:The Assistant General Manager
State Bank of India
Main Branch
Aizawl

6:Sh. Raymond Lalmuanpuia (L)
Substituted by H. Lalthlengliana
S/o Liandawla (L)

R/o Tanhril
Aizawl
Mizoram

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr Zoramchhana
Advocate for the Respondent : Mr. L.H. Lianhrima
Sr.Adv. for R6

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA

JUDGEMENT

Date : 17.09.2026

Heard Mr. C. Lalramzauva, learned senior counsel, assisted by Mr. S. Lalbuatsaiha, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Ms. L.L. Fambawl, learned Additional Advocate General for the State respondent nos.1 to 5; and Mr. L.H. Lianhrima, learned senior counsel, assisted by Mr. R. Lalruatfeli, learned counsel for the private respondent no.6.

2. The learned senior counsel for the applicant and the respondent no. 6, upon instructions received from their learned instructing counsel, have submitted that the parties were referred to mediation vide order dated 16.06.2026 by directing the parties to appear before the Mediator, requiring the report of the Mediator to be filed before this Court within a period of 8 (eight) weeks from the date of the order. It is submitted that both the parties were personally present before the learned Mediator on 07.09.2026 along with their respective learned counsel and the matter has been settled in the Mediation proceedings bearing No. Mediation 22/2026/7 by drawing up a settlement agreement. As per the said settlement agreement dated 07.09.2026, the applicant, Sh. Lalramtiama is required to pay an amount of Rs.15,00,000/- (Rupees fifteen lakh only) in lump sum without interest to settle the matter to the substituted private respondent no. 6, namely, H. Lalthlengliana, which is required to be paid within a period of 3 (three) months from the date of the order passed by this Court by relying on this settlement agreement.

3. The said settlement agreement as reported by the learned Mediator and signed by the applicant and the respondent number 6 is extracted below:

"*07.09.2026*

Both the parties are present. After deliberate discussion of the issues involved in the present matter, the parties came to an amicable settlement with the following terms:-

1) The Petitioner (Sh. Lalramtiama) shall pay money amounting to ₹ 15,00,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Lakhs) in lump-sum without interest to settle the matter to Sh. H. H. Lalthlengliana s/o Liandawla, R/o Tanhril, Aizawl.

2) The payment shall be made within a period of 3 months from the date of order passed by the Hon'ble High Court by relying on the settlement agreement."

4. Accordingly, the said mediation settlement agreement, as extracted above, is made a part of the order of the Court, and marked with letter 'X'.

5. Accordingly, this interlocutory application as well as the connected RFA 54/2023 stands closed in terms of the said mediation settlement agreement dated 07.09.2026.

6. As a consequence of the settlement through mediation, the applicant is permitted to move the Registry of this Court for return of the court fees, which shall be considered in accordance with law.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant