06.10.2026
24 Ct. No.10
sdas

WPA 18279 of 2026

M/s. Shreya Steel Corporation
Vs.
The Assistant Commissioner of Revenue, Bally & Salkia Charge, Directorate of Commercial Taxes & Ors.

Mr. Ankit Konadia
Ms. Megha Agarwal
Ms. Tulika Roy
Mr. S. Poddar
....for the petitioner

Ms. Manju Agarwal, learned AGP
Mr. Ram Chandra Agarwal
....for the State-respondents

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today be kept with the record.

2. The present petition has been filed challenging inter alia, the legality and validity of the adjudication order dated September 18, 2025 passed by the respondent No.1 and the appellate order dated March 30, 2026 passed by the respondent no.2 under Section 107 of the West Bengal Goods and Services Tax Act(hereinafter referred to as the said 'WBGST Act') and the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017(hereinafter referred to as the said 'CGST Act'), whereby the appeal of the petitioner has been dismissed on ground of limitation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits as follows;

i. That a show cause notice in Form DRC 01 No. ZD190825018450D dated 12.08.2025 has been issued to the petitioner demanding tax, interest and penalty.

ii. That said notice has been uploaded on the GST portal under the tab 'Additional Notice and Orders'. Due to this, the petitioner did not get any actual intimation and hence could not respond to the show cause notice.

iii. That the petitioner preferred an appeal before the appellate authority under Section 107 of the said Act but the same has been dismissed solely on the ground of limitation, without considering the merits.

iv. That the entire proceedings and the impugned order are contrary to law and have been passed in violation of principles of natural justice and without due compliance with the procedure prescribed under the said Act.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State respondents opposed the writ petition and submitted since the petitioner has been granted ample opportunities to defend his case, the appeal has been rightly dismissed on limitation.

5. Having heard the parties and upon perusing the records made available this Court observes as follows;

i. The petitioner has been able to make a prima facie case.

ii. The fact that show cause notice has been only uploaded under the 'tab Additional Notice and Orders' and no separate intimation has been given therefore the petitioner has been unable to reply to the same. This constitutes a violation of natural justice.

6. Since the petitioner's appeal has been dismissed by the appellate authority only on the ground of limitation and not on merits, considering the peculiar facts of the case, interference by this Court is warranted in ends of justice.

7. In view of the above this Court directs as follows:

a. The appeal order dated March 30, 2026 and the adjudication order dated September 18, 2025 are hereby quashed and set aside.

b. The petitioner is directed to file a reply to the show cause notice dated 12.08.2025 before the concerned authority within two weeks from date. Such reply shall be considered within four weeks from the date of receipt of the reply by passing a

fresh reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law upon affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Such decision shall be communicated to the petitioner within a week thereafter.

8. With the above observations and directions this writ petition stands disposed of.

9. Since no affidavit in opposition has been called for, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed to have been denied and not admitted.

10. Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Smita Das De, J.)