14.10.2026
cm
ct 33
sl no14

C.R.M. (M) 2184 of 2026

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Habra P.S. Case No. 146 of 2026 dated 27.03.2026 Sections 108/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

Allowed

In Re : Indrajeet Saha.

..... petitioner

Mr. Kunal Ganguly
Mr. Subhadip Maity
..... for the petitioner

Mr. Anirban Kumar Banerjee
Mr. Dipak Khetawat
.... for the State

Mr. Mahidul Islam
Mr. Debangana Bhattacharyya
..... for the de-facto complainant

1. Learned advocate representing the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is in custody for four months, to have been falsely implicated in the alleged offence whereby the deceased claimed to have been intimidated by the present petitioner as narrated in the complaint on account of certain obnoxious activities to the detriment of the victim as mentioned in the complaint. It was further submitted the complicity of the present petitioner apart from being named in the complaint could not be ascertained from the documents on record. The present petitioner had given certain amount of money to the victim. On failure to repay the same, the victim committed suicide.

3. Learned advocate representing the State opposed the prayer for bail relying the contents of the suicidial notes whereby the alleged offence to have been committed by the present

petitioner had been enunciated. Moreover, the mobile phone number through which the victim used to be intimidated and threatened had been on record. Substantial incriminating materials on record shall not enure the victim with a prayer for bail.

4. The submission of the learned advocate representing the State had been confronted by the learned advocate representing the de facto complainant opposing the prayer for grant of bail. Learned advocate representing the State submitted the handwriting expert's opinion had been obtained with positive endorsement.

5. The charges in the instant case have been framed. Apart from an assertion of the mobile numbers on record, no CDR or any other contemporaneous documents except the suicide note are found on record.

6. The prayer for bail of the petitioner is considered and allowed.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Barasat on condition that the petitioner shall appear before the trial court on every date of hearing and shall not intimidate the witnesses or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever and on further condition that the petitioner shall also not leave the jurisdiction of the trial court without prior permission and shall visit the local Police Station once a week until further orders.

8. In the event the petitioner fails to appear before the trial court without justifiable cause, the trial court shall be at liberty to

cancel the bail of the petitioner automatically without reference to this Court.

9. The application being CRM(M) 2184 of 2026 is allowed and disposed of.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)