14. 15.10.2026.
Court
No.33. (Pritam)
(Rejected)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

CRM (M) 2231 of 2026.

In Re: An application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Nakashipara Police Station Case No.821/2023 dated 03.10.2023 under Sections 302 of the IPC, 1860.

In the matter of: - **Anup Mondal @ Mandal.**

.....petitioner.

Mr. Sumanta Das.

.....for the petitioner.

Mr. Kaustav Manna,
Mr. Sanjib Das,
Mr. Ayanshubhro Bandyopadhyay.

...for the State.

1. The learned advocate representing the petitioner submitted the petitioner is to be in custody for nearly 2 years and months and had falsely implicated in the instant case. 9 witnesses out of 19 witnesses have been examined.
2. The learned advocate for the State opposed the prayer for grant of bail as the video recording mentioning the victim to spell out the name of the present petitioner to have stabbed him. Moreover, the offending weapon was recovered at the instance of the leading statement of the petitioner.
3. Considering the heinous nature of the alleged offence and contemporaneous material on record, the prayer for bail is considered and rejected.

4. Accordingly, the application being CRM(M) No.2231 of 2026 is dismissed.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)