**21. 15.10.2026.**
**Court**
**No.33. (Pritam)**
**(Rejected)**

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA**
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

**CRM (M) 2249 of 2026.**

In Re: An application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Singur Police Station Case No.399/2024 dated 25.08.2024 under Sections 310(2)/311/317(3) of the BNS, 2023 read with Section 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

In the matter of: - **Dibyendu Chatterjee @ Babai.**
.....petitioner.

Mr. Susnigdho Bhattacharyya (*VC*).
.....for the petitioner.

Mr. Kaustav Manna,
Mr. Sanjib Das,
Mr. Ayanshubhro Bandyopadhyay.
...for the State.

1. The learned advocate representing the petitioner submitted the earlier bail application in respect of the present petitioner had been rejected on 25th September, 2025 by the hon'ble Division Bench. Though the charges have not been framed, considering the period of detention and the co-accused persons to be on bail, the learned advocate representing the petitioner sought for prayer of bail.
2. The learned advocate representing the State referred to the seizure list at page nos.72 and 172 of the case diary wherefrom the offending weapon, country-made gun and other ammunitions were also recovered from the custody of the present petitioner. Since the trial has not been initiated and the

narrative of the complaint, the statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNS, 2023, the CCTV footage of the crime scene relating incriminating materials, the prayer for bail is considered and rejected.

3. The learned advocate representing the petitioner may advice the petitioner to pray for renewal of bail after the vulnerable witnesses are examined..

4. Accordingly, the application being CRM(M) No.2249 of 2026 is dismissed.

**(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)**