14.10.2026
DL-9
Court No.37
[Bench ID-**265691**]
(AD)

(Rejected)

In the High Court at Calcutta

Criminal Miscellaneous Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

C.R.M. (A) 3542 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with **Uluberia** Police Station Case No.**569 of 2025** dated **20.11.2025** corresponding to GR Case No.3184 of 2025 under Sections **316(2) /316(5) /318(4) /319(2)/335/338/336(3)/340(1)/340(2)/61** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 pending before the Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at Uluberia, Howrah.

And

In the matter of: **Moulindu Banerjee & Ors.**

....petitioners

Mr. Sabyasachi Banerjee, Ld. Sr. Advocate
Mr. Ayan Bhattacharya, Ld. Sr. Advocate
Mr. Subhendu Bhattacharjee, Advocate
Ms. Dipasree Chanda, Advocate
Ms. Shreyeta Mitra, Advocate
Ms. Sudipa Gupta, Advocate

...for the petitioners

Mr. Krishnendu Bhattacharya, Ld. APP
Ms. Tanusree Kar, Advocate

... for the State

1. Petitioners seek anticipatory bail.
2. Materials in the case diary suggest that the petitioners received money from a foreign organization in order to undertake some projects in India.
3. Materials in the case diary suggest that the money was misappropriated.
4. Enlarging any of the petitioners on anticipatory bail is likely to affect the quality of the investigations.
5. Huge quantity of money is involved.
6. The project which forms the subject matter of the police complaint involves the fate of the public in general

particularly the economically weaker section. They apparently were also left in the lurch.

7. In such conspectus, I am not minded to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

9. **C.R.M. (A) 3542 of 2026** is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

ABHIJIT DAS
Digitally signed by ABHIJIT DAS
Date: 2026.10.14 16:17:58 +05'30'