IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Ct.19 **08.10.26**
Item No.13 Sws.M

WPA 25907 of 2025

Sanjay Chakraborty
Vs
The Raiganj Central Co-operative Bank Ltd. & Ors.

Mr. Indranath Mitra
...for the petitioner

Ms. Susmita Saha Dutta, AGP
Mr. Madhu Jana
Ms. Puja Sonkar
....for the State

Mr. Arnab Ray
Mr. Shirsho Banerjee
....for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2

Mr. D.N. Roy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anirban Das
....for the Co-operative Election Commission

1. The parties are represented by their respective learned counsel.
2. At the time of hearing, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the writ petitioner files a letter dated 23.09.2026 as claimed to have been written by the writ petitioner. The letter dated 23.09.2026 as filed on behalf of the writ petitioner is taken on record.
3. It is submitted by the learned advocate for the writ petitioner that in view of the letter dated 23.09.2026, the writ petitioner is not willing to proceed with the instant writ petition and accordingly appropriate

order may be passed with a liberty to file similar such writ petition on the self-same cause of action.

4. In absence of any objection, prayer is allowed. Consequently, **WPA 25907 of 2025** is **dismissed for non-prosecution** with the liberty as prayed for.
5. Interim order, if there be any, stands vacated.
6. Pending interlocutory applications are also dismissed.

(Partha Sarathi Sen , J.)