15/10
2026

AD/26/18
266312
NANDY

(DISMISSED)

In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA/29090/2026

SANDHYA SARKAR
VS
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Mr. Biswajit Tiwari, Advocate
……for the Petitioner

Mr. Pulakesh Bajpayee, Advocate
Mr. Subit Majumdar, Advocate
……for the State

1. Affidavit of service, as filed, be kept with the record.
2. The petitioner is aggrieved that her application for compassionate appointment has not been considered by the authorities.
3. The petitioner is the married daughter of a former employee who worked as an 'Anganwadi Kormi' in the district of Malda. The employee expired on August 13, 2025, while in service. The petitioner has thereafter made an application for compassionate appointment, which has not been considered.
4. As it appears from the records and as submitted by Mr. Bajpayee, learned Advocate appearing for the respondents, the petitioner is not a Government employee. She had undertaken the employment voluntarily and was engaged as a voluntary worker.
5. In fact, clause 4 at page 15 specifies that voluntary workers would not be treated as Government employees under any circumstances.

6. In view of the fact that the mother of the petitioner was not a Government employee, the question of considering the petitioner's application for compassionate appointment does not arise.
7. In view of the afore-stated, the writ petition fails and is accordingly **dismissed**. No order as to costs.

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra, J.)