10
**15.10.2026**
Court No.24
pg.

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

**WPA 29847 of 2026**

Snehendu Dasgupta
-versus
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Tanmoy Bhattacharyya
Ms. Mithu Mallick (Bhattacharyya)
Ms. Joyeeta Kundu
... For the petitioner

Mr. Ajay Chaubey
Ms. Mary Datta
... For Union of India

Mr. U.S. Menon
Mr. Abhirup Chakraborty
... For the respondent no.2/NTA

1. The petitioner appeared in NEET-UG 2026 examination. He alleges that two separate score cards were put up in the website of the National Testing Agency (NTA). On the first occasion, the marks obtained by him were shown as 558 and on the next occasion, the same was shown as 128. Because of the marks which were reflected later on his score card, the petitioner did not get a chance for admission in the course. The petitioner is aggrieved by the same.

2. The petitioner holds on to the fact that he secured 558 marks. The first score card and the OMR sheet is proper and the authority ought to act on the basis of the same.

3. Learned advocate representing NTA submits, upon instruction that, the document that the petitioner

is relying upon is fake and forged. The petitioner as well as his mother was communicated over email the proper score card as well as the OMR sheet. The barcode that is appearing in the score card of the petitioner, annexed at pages 27 and 28 of the writ petition, if scanned, will show that the petitioner secured 128 marks only and not 558 as claimed.

4. It has also been submitted that the result of the examination was published on 16th July, 2026 and by now three rounds of counselling are over. Admission process has nearly ended but for the stray round of counselling that may take place. All seats in the Central quota are filled up. The petitioner has taken a desperate attempt to forge document to secure admission.

5. It has been submitted that the writ petition suffers from gross suppression of material facts. The same is liable to be dismissed with exemplary costs.

6. Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner asserts that the petitioner on good faith downloaded both the score cards from the same portal. It has been denied that the petitioner is any way responsible for forging the document as alleged by NTA.

7. Upon hearing the submission made on behalf of both the parties and on perusal of the documents annexed to the writ petition, it is evident that the petitioner did not annex the email communications

dated 15th July, 2026 and 17th July, 2026 forwarded by NTA to the candidate and his mother.

8. The barcode that is appearing in the score card of the petitioner annexed at pages 27 and 28 of the writ petition, upon scanning, shows that the petitioner secured only 128 marks.

9. The Court, keeping in mind the best interest of the student, is not calling for any police investigation; neither is imposing any cost upon the petitioner at this stage.

10. It will be open for NTA to take steps against the petitioner in accordance with law on the charge of forging documents, if so advised.

11. The writ petition stands dismissed.

12. All parties shall act on the basis of a server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

13. Certified server copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties on compliance of all requisite formalities.

**(Amrita Sinha, J.)**