13. **30.09.2026**
Court No.22
(Tanmoy)

In The High Court at Calcutta

CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

WPA/26967/2025

MD. RAIJUL ALAM
VS
STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS.

Mr. Madu Jana, JGA
Mr. Vaibhav Prakash
...for the State.

Mr. Debangshu Dinda (VC)
Ms. Dibyanjona Das(VC)

...for the respondent nos. 2-3.

Mr. Arnab Kumar Ghosh
...for the private
respondent nos.4-5.

1. The State is represented. Learned Advocate for the respondent nos.2-3 appears on the virtual mode. The private respondent nos. 4-5 are also represented. Once again, the petitioner is not represented.

2. I find from the records that the petitioner has not been represented even once in this matter till date. On August 20, 2026, this matter was taken up for hearing and in view of the petitioner's absence, the respondent nos.2-3 were directed to intimate the petitioner of the next date of hearing. The same was

done. Nonetheless, the petitioner was not represented on September 23, 2026. On September 23, 2026, it was recorded that one more opportunity would be given to the petitioner to be present and/or be represented.

3. In view of the absence of the petitioner today as well, it is evident from the foregoing that the petitioner is not interested in proceeding with this case.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition, being WPA/26967/2025, is dismissed for default.

5. There will be no order as to costs.

(RISHAD MEDORA, J.)