Sr. No. 27

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

CPSW No. 288/2012

Balbir Singh and Ors. …. Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through:- Mr. Abhirash Sharma, Advocate.

V/s

B. R. Sharma, Comm. PHE Deptt. and Ors. …..Respondent(s)

Through:- Ms. Monika Kohli, Sr. AAG with
Ms. Sagira Jaffar, Advocate.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY DHAR, JUDGE

ORDER
07.10.2026.

1. Through the medium of the present petition, the petitioners are seeking implementation of order dated 10.05.2012 passed by the writ Court whereby the respondents were directed to consider the case of the petitioners for regularization of services in accordance with the mandate of rules notified vide SRO 64 of 1994.

2. Compliance report is stated to have been filed by the respondents, However, the same is not on record.

3. Learned Senior AAG appearing for the respondents has produced physical copy of the compliance report which is taken on record. Copy thereof has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. Alongwith the compliance report filed by the respondents, they have placed on record copy of Government Order bearing No. 85-JK(JSD) of

2026 dated 08.05.2026 whereby the services of the petitioners have been regularized with effect from 01.04.2004.

5. A copy of order bearing No. 72-E of 2026-27 dated 29.06.2026 issued by the Chief Engineer, Jal Shakti, (I&FC) Department, Jammu issued in pursuance of Govt. Order dated 08.05.2026, has been placed on record. The respondents have also placed on record copy of the communication dated 14.08.2026 seeking allotment of funds so that arrears of salary on account of regularization of services of the petitioners are released in their favour.

6. The direction passed by the writ Court was confined to the consideration of the cases of the petitioners for regularization of their services. In view of the issuance of Govt. order dated 08.05.2026 by the respondents, the said direction stands complied with. If at all, the petitioners have any grievance with regard to release of consequential benefits, they are at liberty to agitate the same by way of appropriate proceedings.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that no order of regularization has been issued in respect of the petitioner-Puran Chand, pursuant to Goverrnment Order dated 08.05.2026. A perusal of Government Order dated 08.05.2026 reveals that sanction for regularization of services of Puran Chand already stands accorded and in terms of communication dated 14.08.2026, arrears of his salary have also been calculated by the respondent- department. If petitioner- Puran Chand

faces any difficulty in getting salary in regularized grade, he shall be at liberty to seek revival of this petition.

8. The proceedings in this contempt petition are, accordingly, **closed.**

(Sanjay Dhar)
Judge

JAMMU
07.10.2026
NEHA-1

Neha Kumari
2026.10.08 12:51
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document