Sr. No. 11

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH
AT JAMMU

CPSW No. 337/2018

Durga Devi and Ors. …. Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)

Through:- Mr. R. K. S. Thakur, Advocate.

V/s

Pawan Dev Kotwal, Secretary, Health and Medical and Ors. …..Respondent(s)

Through:- Mr. Raman Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Saliqa Sheikh, Advocate &
Mr. Kartikay Sharma, Advocate.
Mr. Ajaz Chowdhary, Advocate.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY DHAR, JUDGE

ORDER
30.09.2026.

1. The petitioners through the medium of the present petition is seeking implementation of judgment dated 07.11.2016 passed by the writ Court.

2. The compliance report stands filed by the respondents. Alongwith the compliance report, the respondents have placed on record communication dated 08.09.2026. In the said communication, it has been submitted that consolidated amount admissible to the petitioner, including the arrears arising out of grant of the revised pay scale of Rs.1200-2040 w.e.f. 01.04.1990 and the third higher standard pay scale of Rs. 1400-2300 w.e.f. 01.01.1995, along with applicable interest amounting to Rs. 31746/- has been disbursed to the petitioner in compliance with the judgment.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the respondents have not calculated and paid the interest on the arrears of pension due to the petitioner.

4. A perusal of the judgment of the writ Court would reveal that the respondents 1 to 3 were directed to accord the benefit of Third Higher Standard Pay Scale to the petitioner as per his entitlement under Rule 5(ii)(a) of the 1996 Rules as well as to accord him the benefit of revised pay scale of Rs. 1200-2040 with effect from 01.04.1990. It was further directed that the petitioner shall be entitled to payment of arrears of salary alongwith interest, quantified at the rate of six percent from the date of his entitlement till the actual payment is made to him.

5. The order of the writ Court does not provide for payment of interest on the arrears of revised pension to the petitioner. Therefore, the contention raised by the petitioner is not tenable.

6. In view of the above, the order passed by the writ Court stands complied with. Accordingly, the proceedings in this contempt petition are **closed.** The petition stands **disposed of.**

(Sanjay Dhar)
Judge

JAMMU
30.09.2026
NEHA-1

Neha Kumari
2026.10.01 10:37
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document