Serial No. 14
Regular Cause List

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU & KASHMIR AND LADAKH AT SRINAGAR

CCP(D) 7/2023 In
OWP 1975/2018

TASNEEMA RAFIQ **...PETITIONER(S)**

Through:
Mr. Zaid Mehraj, Advocate vice
Mr. Salih Pirzada, Advocate.

V/s.

MR SHYAMBIR AND ANR **...RESPONDENT(S)**

Through:
Mr. Ilyas Nazir Laway, GA.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Wasim Sadiq Nargal, Judge.

ORDER
28.09.2026

01. The instant contempt petition arises out of an order dated 29.03.2022 passed by the Division Bench of this Court, by virtue of which the writ petition preferred by the petitioner was disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to approach the Collector Land Acquisition (Assistant Commissioner, Revenue), Ganderbal, for completion of the documents for seeking compensation for the land. In addition, the (Assistant Commissioner, Revenue), Ganderbal was also directed to ensure payment of the compensation to the petitioner in terms of the applicable laws and rules.

02. Since the aforesaid order passed by the Division Bench was not complied with, the instant contempt petition was preferred, in which notice was issued on 02.03.2023.

03. The record reveals that a detailed compliance report stands filed on behalf of the respondents in terms of order dated 02.09.2026, wherein the respondents have submitted that the order passed by the Division Bench mentioned *supra* stands complied with and a detailed consideration order dated 01.08.2026 has been passed, by virtue of which the claim of the petitioner for the release of compensation

was rejected. The said consideration order forms part of the compliance report and has been placed on record as Annexure-I.

04. This Court, after perusing the compliance report filed by the respondents and also the order of consideration, is of the considered view that the order passed by the Division Bench stands complied with, and, therefore, no contempt is made out.

05. Accordingly, the proceedings in the instant contempt petition are **closed**. Rule, if any, shall stand discharged. However, closure of the instant contempt petition shall not come in the way of the petitioner to challenge the aforesaid consideration order by way of fresh proceedings, if so advised.

(WASIM SADIQ NARGAL)
JUDGE

SRINAGAR:
28.09.2026
"Hamid"



Abdul Hamid Bhat
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
document