2026:JHHC:30157

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI

C.M.P. No. 1091 of 2026

1.Subodhan Hembram, aged about 63 years,

2.Shibdhan Hembram, aged about 53 years,

Sl.No.1 and 2 both are sons of late Moral hembram

3.Haradhan Hembram, aged about 37 years,

4.Hopna Hembram, aged about 31 years,

5.Mansa Hembram, aged about 28 years,

Sl.No. 3 to 5 are sons of late Hujur Hembram,

All residents of Village Khairboni, PO Jamjuri, PS Fatehpur, District Jamtara ***..... Petitioners/ Plaintiffs***

-- Versus --

1.Minor Pendo Hembram, son of late Kindo Hembram, represented by Mother Surowali Kisku, resident of Village Lipidih, PO Tilaki, PS Bindapather District Jamtara

2.Minor Paban Hembram

3.Minor Gaman Hembram

Sl.no. 2 and 3 are sons of late Suku Hembram, represented by Mother Surowali Kisku, resident of Village Giridih, PO Tilaki, PS Bindo Pathar, District Jamtara

4.Minor Rajib Hembram

5.Minor Ram Hembram

Sl.no.4 and 5 are sons of late Srijal hembram, represented by Mother Anjali Soren

6.Binod Hembram son of late Bunda Hembram

7.Shyam Sundar Hembram son of late Mistri Hembram,

8.Robiswar Hembram

9.Ratan Hembram

Sl.No.8 and 9 are sons of late Mulun Hembram

10.Mantu Hembram

11.Daroga Hembram

12.Gudda Hembram

Sl.No. 10 to 12 are sons of late Chutar Hembram

13.Buhadi Baski, wife of late Debishwar Hembram

14.Rita Hembrom

15.Lalita Hembrom

16.Sonapati Hembrom

17.Joba Hembrom

Sl.No.14 to 17 are the daughters of late Debishwar Hembram

Sl.No.2 to 17 are the residents of Villae Khairboni, PO Jamjuri, PS Fatehpur, District Jamtara ***..... Respondents/ Defendants***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner(s) :- Mr. Atanu Banerjee, Advocate

Mr. Kaushal Kishor Mishra, Advocate

For the Respondents :-

5/08.10.2026 This petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 27.01.2026 passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division)-II, Jamtara in Original Suit No.18 of 2018 whereby the application dated 21.11.2025 filed by the respondent nos.13 to 17 under Order I Rule

10 (2) read with Section 151 of C.P.C. for their impleadment as defendants has been allowed in a case arising out of partition suit.

2. It transpires that in the partition suit, the widow and the daughters of defendant no.2 have filed the petition for impleadment and in that background, the learned court has been pleased to allow their impleadment in the said suit.

3. Prima-facie, the interest of the widow and the daughters are there as directly they are related to defendant no.2, and in that view of the matter, the learned court has rightly passed the said order.

4. There is no illegality in the said order, and as such, this petition being C.M.P. No. 1091 of 2026 is, hereby, dismissed.

5. However, the rejection of the instant C.M.P will not prejudice the case of either of the parties.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated : 08.10.2026
SI/