IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
S.A. No. 275 of 2016

Bipat Prajapati, son of Late Harakhu Prajapati, resident of Village Barki Koiya, P.O. Bariyatu, P.S. Gola, District Ramgarh.

..... … Appellant

Versus

1. Anup Prajapati
2. Bhawani Prajapati,
3. Reyani Prajapati

All sons of Late Laltu Prajapati, resident of Village Barki Koiya, P.O. Bariyatu, P.S. Gola, District Ramgarh.

4. Tileshwari Devi, wife of Bitwa Kumhar, resident of Village Lipiya, P.O. Korambe, P.S.-Gola, District Ramgarh.
5. Simati Devi, wife of Natho Kumhar, resident of Village Sereyatu, P.O. Bariyatu, P.S. Gola, District Ramgarh.
6. Smt. Sulochani Devi, wife of Guru Kumhar, resident of Village Kocho, P.O. and P.S. Silli, District Ranchi.
7. Sakhi Prajapati
8. Jethu Prajapati,

Both sons of Late Gunjar Prajapati, resident of Village Barki Koiya, P.O. Bariyatu, P.S. Gola, District Ramgarh.

9. (Deleted)
10. Name not known wife o late Kumwer Prajapati
11. Pema Prajapati, son of Late Kunwer Prajapati
12. Nandu Prajapati, son of Late Kunwer Prajapati

No 11 and 12 both sons and legal representatives of Late Kunwar Prajapati

No. 10 to 12 are resident of Village Lawadag, P.O. and P.S. Silli, District Ranchi.

..... … Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Appellant : None.

10/ 08.10.2026 Nobody has responded on behalf of the appellant in spite of

repeated calls.

2. This second appeal is directed against the Judgment dated 24.02.2016 and the Decree signed on 08.03.2016, passed by the learned District Judge-II, Ramgarh, in Title Appeal No. 16 of 2011, whereby the learned appellate court has been pleased to dismiss the appeal and upheld the Judgment dated 07.03.2011 and the decree signed on 11.03.2011, passed by the learned Sub-Judge-IV, Hazaribagh, in Partition Suit No. 87 of 2007 and both these judgments are the subject matter of the present second appeal.

3. This second appeal is of the year 2016 and on 14.08.2018, the time was allowed to learned counsel appearing for the appellant to remove the defects and by order dated 17.09.2018, the substitution petition relating to respondent No. 9 for deleting his name was allowed and further on 10.04.2023, again time was taken to remove the surviving defect and also on 19.11.2024, further time was taken by the appellant and by way of last chance, adjournment was allowed

4. Today again, when the matter was taken up, nobody has responded on behalf of the appellant in spite of repeated calls, which suggests that the appellant has lost interest in the matter. As such, this second appeal is hereby dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-08.10.2026
Amitesh/-