2026:JHHC:29523-DB

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

[Against the judgment of conviction dated 18.05.2018 and the order of sentence dated 22.05.2018 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-1, West Singhbhum at Chaibasa in G.R. (POCSO) Case No. 10 of 2015]

Criminal Appeal (D.B.) No.941 of 2018

Gardi Tiriya, son of late Gura Tiriya, resident of village Aaikutti, PO and PS Jeteya, District West Singhbhum, Chaibasa… Appellant(s).

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Respondent(s).

For the Appellant(s) : Ms. Saba Ali, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mrs. Priya Shrestha, Spl. PP (through V.C.)

.....

PRESENT

SRI ANANDA SEN, J.
SRI PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA, J.

1st October 2026

***By the Court*:** This Criminal Appeal arises out of the judgment of conviction dated 18.05.2018 and the order of sentence dated 22.05.2018 passed in G.R. (POCSO) Case No. 10 of 2015 arising out of Kiriburu P.S. Case No. 03 of 2015 whereby and whereunder learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, West Singhbhum at Chaibasa convicted the appellant under Sections 366(A)/34, 376 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and sentenced him to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 7 years with fine of Rs. 10,000/- under Section 366(A) of the Indian Penal Code and in default of payment of fine has to undergo further R.I. for one year. He was further sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for 14 years and fine of Rs. 10,000/- under section 376 of Indian Penal Code and Section 6 of POCSO

Act. In default of payment of fine has to undergo further R.I. for 1 year.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State that the appellant has already been released after serving the entire sentence.

3. Copy of the document has been brought on record.

4. In the said note it has also been mentioned that the appellant after serving the sentence has paid the fine amount also. Since the appellant has paid the fine amount, the only presumption which has been drawn is that he is not interested in pursuing this appeal otherwise he would have not paid the fine amount after his release during pendency of this appeal, thus, this appeal is **dismissed**.

5. All pending I.A. stands disposed of.

6. Let a copy of this order along with the Trial Court Records be sent back to the Court concerned forthwith.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

(PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA, J.)

Dated : 01/10/2026
Tanuj/CP-3

Uploaded on 06.10.2026