IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 8663 of 2026

Sanatan Das, aged about 30 years, S/o: Late Nipu Das, R/o Nawadih, P.O. +P.S. Jamtara, District-Jamtara. **… … Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand **… … Opposite Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rahul Ranjan, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Fahad Allam, Advocate

06/**08.10.2026**

1. Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 19.06.2026 in connection with Jamtara Cyber Crime P.S. Case No. 36 of 2026, for the alleged offence registered under Sections 111(2)(e), 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 3(5) of BNS and Section 66(B), 66(C), 66(D) of I.T. Act and 42(3)(e) of Telecommunications Act, 2023 pending in the court of learned Special Judge, Cyber Crime, Jamtara.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that 03 mobiles and 03 SIM cards are alleged to have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and, out of the seized articles, one SIM card standing in the name of the petitioner. The petitioner having no criminal antecedent and no victim has been identified and charge sheet has already been submitted.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party-State has opposed the prayer for bail and has referred to paragraph 89 of the case diary, submitting that banking transaction of Rs.1,03,380/- has been found in the mobile phone.

5. To this, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the transaction relates to the wife of the petitioner and the mobile belongs to the petitioner and that although the mobile phone belongs to the petitioner, the transaction is not connected with any complaint made

by any victim. He has also submitted that the transaction mentioned in paragraph 89 of the case diary has to be examined at the stage of trial.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that charge sheet has already been submitted and the petitioner has not criminal antecedent, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty-five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, Cyber Crime, Jamtara in connection with Jamtara Cyber Crime P.S. Case No. 36 of 2026 on the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.

(ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.

(iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of his single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.

(iv) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.

(v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned court below.

7. The instant bail application is **allowed** with the aforesaid conditions.

8. Let a copy of this order along with a copy of the affidavit filed with the bail petition be communicated to the court concerned through 'FAX/E-mail'.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

08.10.2026
Rakesh/-
Uploaded on:-08.10.2026