IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 8785 of 2026

Nitesh Das, aged about 22 years, S/o Matru Das, R/o Posoi, P.O. + P.S. Jamtara, District Jamtara **… … Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand **… … Opposite Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rahul Ranjan, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Fahal Allam, Advocate

08/**08.10.2026**

1. Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 19.06.2026 in connection with Jamtara Cyber Crime P.S. Case No. 36 of 2026, for the alleged offence registered under Sections 111(2)(e), 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 3(5) of BNS and Section 66(B), 66(C), 66(D) of I.T. Act and 42(3)(e) of Telecommunications Act, 2023 pending in the court of learned Special Judge, Cyber Crime, Jamtara.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that 03 mobiles and 04 SIM Cards are alleged to have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and a few of them are in the name of other persons. He has submitted that no victim has been identified and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The charge sheet has already been submitted.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party-State has opposed the prayer for bail and has referred to the counter-affidavit and has referred to paragraph 20, 21 and 22 and has submitted that these transactions has related to the mobile which have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner, though the same do not belong to the petitioner. He has then referred to paragraph 13 to 22 to submit that the name of the person in whose name the mobile and SIM cards are registered has been mentioned therein.

5. At this, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he is ready to deposit the amount of Rs.68,500/-, Rs.23,520/- and Rs.11/- as mentioned in paragraph 20, 21 and 22 without prejudice to his rights in the trial. Considering the fact that the charge sheet has been submitted, the petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and charge sheet has been submitted, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, Cyber Crime, Jamtara in connection with Jamtara Cyber Crime P.S. Case No. 36 of 2026 on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.68,500/-, Rs.23,520/- and Rs.11/- before the concerned court in cash, without prejudice to the right of the petitioner.

(ii) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.

(iii) The other bailor should be his close relative.

(iv) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of his single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.

(v) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.

(vi) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned court below.

7. The instant bail application is **allowed** with the aforesaid conditions.

8. The investigation is still going on, it is expected that a supplementary case diary with regard to the further investigation will be also forwarded to the court concerned by the State.

9. Let a copy of this order along with a copy of the affidavit filed with the bail petition be communicated to the court concerned through 'FAX/E-mail'.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

08.10.2026
Rakesh/-
Uploaded on:-08.10.2026