IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Appeal (S.J) No.974 of 2026

---

1. Vishal Kumar, age 23 years old Son of Naresh Prasad
2. Pawan Kumar Sah, age 29 years old son of Krishn Prasad Sah
3. Basdev Yadav @ Basdeo Yadav, age 35 years old Son of Prem Yadav
4. Lalbihari Paswan, age 49 years old son of Banwari Paswan
5. Mandeep Kumar Vishwakarma, age 32 years old son of Nagendra Vishwakarma
6. Pradeep Kumar Gupta, age 45 years old son of Sitaram Gupta
7. Pappu Yadav, age 31 years old son of Prem Yadav
8. Vikash Kumar @ Vikas Kumar, age 20 years old son of Chandrik Saw
9. Indrajeet Kumar, age 27 years old son of Bandhan Sao
10. Pawan Kumar, age 27 years old son of Sambhu Prasad
11. Awadesh Gupta, age 43 years old son of Nanku Sah
12. Varunendra Kumar, age 27 years old son of Shyamlal
13. Anupam Kumar, age 23 years old son of Shiv Prasad Sah

All are resident of Village Lawahi Kalan, P.O & P.S. Dandai, District Garhwa **…. …. Appellant(s)**

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Akshay Ram, Son of Late Yogeshwar Ram, resident of Gijiniya Damar Tola, Lawani Kalan, P.O. & P.S. Dandai, District Garhwa

…. …. Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Appellants : Mr. Sabyasanchi, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Vineet Kr. Vashistha, A.P.P.

02/Dated: 05th October, 2026

1. The present criminal appeal has been filed under Section 14-A of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, for grant of anticipatory bail though the same bars under Section 18 of the SC/ST (PoA) Act.

The present appeal has been filed against the order dated 08.07.2026 passed by the learned Special Judge SC/ST Act-cum- Additional Sessions Judge-Ist, Garhwa in A.B.P No.452 of 2026 whereby and whereunder the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail of the appellants has been rejected in connection with Dandai P.S. Case No.104 of 2025, corresponding to SC/ST Case No.20 of 2026, for the offence under Sections 196, 351(2), 351(3) & 3(5) of the B.N.S, 2023, Sections

3(1)(r) & 3(1)(s) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act & Section 67 of the I.T. Act. The case is presently pending in the court of learned Special Judge SC/ST Act-cum- Additional Sessions Judge-I, Garhwa.

2. After some arguments, learned counsel for the appellants seeks permission to withdraw the present criminal appeal with a liberty to work out their remedy in accordance with law especially in view of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Musheer Alam Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Anr.,*** reported in ***2025 SCC OnLine SC 116*** as after completion of investigation, charge-sheet has been submitted and cognizance has also been taken against the appellants by the concerned Trial Court.

3. Learned counsel for the State has raised no objection.

4. Permission is granted. Accordingly, the present criminal appeal is hereby, disposed of as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Dated: 05th October, 2026
Raja/- Uploaded 06.10.2026