IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 8651 of 2026

Chhotu Kumar Mahto @ Chhotu @ Chhotu Kumar @ Choutu Kumar Mahto, aged about 18 years, son of Madhu Mahto @ Madhu Yadav, resident of Village Jalathar, P.O. Chanddih, P.S. Kunda, District Deoghar, Jharkhand. **… … Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand **… … Opposite Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arvind Kumar Choudhary, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Navin Kuamr Ganjhu, Advocate

04/**08.10.2026**

1. Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 09.06.2026 in connection with Deoghar (Cyber) P.S. Case No. 87 of 2026, for the alleged offence registered under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) and 3(5) of BNS and Section 66(B), 66(C), 66(D) and 84(C) of the Information Technology Act pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge II-cum-Special Judge, Cyber Crime Cases, Deoghar.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one mobile and one SIM card belonging to the brother of the petitioner are alleged to have been recorded from the possession of the petitioner. However, during the course of investigation, no victim has been identified and no crime link has been found. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The case diary has been received. The statement of victim has been recorded in paragraph 41 and 42 of the case diary, which does not relate to the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party-State though has opposed the prayer for bail, but does not dispute the aforesaid submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner. However, he submits that further investigation is still going on, although the charge sheet has been submitted against the petitioner.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner having no criminal antecedent and charge sheet having been submitted, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge II-cum-Special Judge, Cyber Crime Cases, Deoghar in connection with Deoghar (Cyber) P.S. Case No. 87 of 2026 on the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.

(ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.

(iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of his single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.

(iv) The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.

(v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned court below.

6. The instant bail application is **allowed** with the aforesaid conditions.

7. Let a copy of this order along with a copy of the affidavit filed with the bail petition be communicated to the court concerned through 'FAX/E-mail'.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

08.10.2026
Rakesh/-
Uploaded on:-08.10.2026