(2026:JHHC:30232)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 5629 of 2026

Dipankar Ghosh @ Sonu Ghosh, aged about 31 years, son of Mantu Ghosh, resident of village –Radhanagar, P.O. & P.S. – Radhanagar, District –Sahibganj.

… Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Ms. Adya Mishra, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Anuradha Sahay, Addl. P.P.
For the Informant : Mr. Pratiush Lala, Advocate

Order No.02 Dated- 08.10.2026

Heard the parties.

Apprehending his arrest, the petitioner has moved this Court for grant of privilege of anticipatory bail in connection with Radhanagar P.S. Case No.188 of 2026 registered under sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 303(2), 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons attempted to murder the informant by causing injury on the palm of his left hand. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the alleged injury sustained by the victim is simple in nature. It is then submitted that there is animosity between the parties and the sister-in-law of the petitioner instituted Radhanagar P.S. Case No. 175 of 2026 against the father of the informant of this case and others *inter-alia* involving the offences punishable under Section 109(1) of the B.N.S. and as an afterthought and counter-blast, this case has falsely been foisted. It is next submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent because Radhanagar P.S. Case No. 178 of 2026 which was instituted against the petitioner, police after investigation of the case found the allegations against the petitioner to be not true. It is then submitted that the petitioner

undertakes to furnish sufficient security including cash security. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the investigation of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or her family members in any manner during the pendency of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be given the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am of the opinion that it is a fit case where the above-named petitioner be given the privilege of anticipatory bail. Hence, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of two weeks from the date of this order, he shall be released on bail on depositing cash security of Rs.5,000/- and on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Rajmahal, in connection with Radhanagar P.S. Case No.188 of 2026 with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the investigation of the case and appear before the Investigating Officer as and when noticed by him and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the pendency of the case with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or his family members in any manner during the pendency of the case subject to the conditions laid down under section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

08.10.2026
Sonu/