(2026:JHHC:30222)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 9460 of 2026

Ravi Sharma @ Ravi Kumar Sharma, Aged about 50 years, Son of Rajendra Prasad Sharma, Resident of Govindpur Nagar, Kharnagarha, P.O. CCW Colony, P.S. –Saraidhela, District –Dhanbad, Jharkhand.

… Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Advocate
: Mr. Krishna Nand Sahay, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Subodh Kr. Dubey, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 08.10.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Barwadda P.S. Case No.131 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 303(2), 317(5), 318(3), 316(2), 112(2) & 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons has committed theft of DI Pipes from the stockyard of the company of the informant by loading the same in a truck and the allegation against the petitioner is that the theft was committed *inter-alia* for the wrongful gain of the petitioner who is the owner of Hanuman Enterprises. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and he has been implicated in this case because of the dispute of the company of the informant with the brother of the petitioner namely Rajiv Sharma regarding payment of the dues by the company of the informant to the brother of the petitioner. It is then submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in para-22 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 11.08.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph

no. 01 of the bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate -1st Class, Dhanbad, in connection with Barwadda P.S. Case No.131 of 2026 with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

08.10.2026
Sonu/