IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.9435 of 2026

---

1. Vikku Saw @ Vikku Kumar Saw son of Bhagirath Saw aged about 33 years, resident of Village- Bharkatta, P.O. & P.S.- Birni, District- Giridih.
2. Jageshwar Verma @ Jageshwar Prasad Verma @ Jageshwa Prasad Verma son of Kaila Mahto aged about 35 years, resident of Village Barai, P.O. & P.S. Birni, District Giridih.
3. Ranjay Thakur @ Ranjay Kumar Sharma son of Rama Thakur @ Ramanand Thakur aged about 32 years, resident of Village- Mandrkha, P.O. & P.S. Birni, District- Giridih.

.... …. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Prashant Kr. Rahul, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Bandana Sinha, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-02 Dated:-08-10-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have been made accused in connection with Chandwa P.S. Case No.160 of 2021 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners committed dacoity and looted the tanker loaded with petrol. It is next submitted though petitioners are not named in the F.I.R., but they have not yet been put to T.I.P. It is next submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners by drawing attention of this Court to the order dated 28.04.2023 in B.A. No. 1987 of 2023 that informant of this case himself handed over tanker to the petitioner and the entire occurrence was stage managed and the co-accused namely – Zainul Ansari @ Jainul Ansari on the basis of whose statement the petitioner has been implicated in this

case, has been admitted to bail by the co-ordinate bench of this Court. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioners is false. It is further submitted that the petitioners have been in custody since 21.09.2026 as mentioned in para-01 of the instant bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-13 of the instant bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioners undertake to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertake not to annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioners be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Latehar in connection with Chandwa P.S. Case No.160 of 2021 **with the condition that they will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish their mobile numbers and photocopy of the Aadhar Cards in the court below with an undertaking that they will not change their mobile numbers during the trial of the case and they will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 08.10.2026
Amar/