CGHC010162352020 2026:CGHC:38896

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2951 of 2020

1 - Dr. Teeku Ram Sinha, (M D), S/o Shri Nohar Singh Sinha, Aged About 46 Years Associate Professor, Department Of Community, Late Baliram Kashyap Medical College, Dimrapara, Tahsil - Jagdalpur, District - Bastar Chhattisgarh.
2 - Dr. Suresh Kumar Thakur, (M D), S/o Shri Puneet Ram Thakur, Aged About 44 Years Associate Professor, Department Of Radiotherapy, Late Baliram Kashyap Medical College, Dimrapara, Tahsil - Jagdalpur, District - Bastar Chhattisgarh.,
3 - Dr. Rakesh Kumar Sori, (M S), S/o Shri M.L. Sori, Aged About 45 Years Associate Professor, Department Of Ophthalmology, Late Baliram Kashyap Medical College, Dimrapara, Tahsil - Jagdalpur, District - Bastar Chhattisgarh.,
4 - Dr. Smt. Chhaya Sori, (M S), W/o Dr. Rakesh Kumar Sori, Aged About 41 Years Associate Professor, Department Of Ophthalmology, Late Baliram Kashyap Medical College, Dimrapara, Tahsil - Jagdalpur, District - Bastar Chhattisgarh.,
5 - Dr. S.K. Dwivedi, (M D), S/o Shri R.S. Dwivedi, Aged About 50 Years Associate Professor, Department Of Physiology, Late Baliram Kashyap Medical College, Dimrapara, Tahsil - Jagdalpur, District - Bastar Chhattisgarh., **... Petitioner(s)**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh, Through - Secretary, Department Of Health And Family Welfare, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.,
2 - The Director, Directorate Of Medical Education (D M E), Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar Raipur Chhattisgarh.,
3 - Late Baliram Kashyap Medical College, Dimrapara, Tahsil - Jagdalpur, District - Bastar Chhattisgarh. Through - Its Dean., District : Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) **:** None

For Respondent/State **:** Mr. Sharad Mishra, P.L.

Hon’ble Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Judge

Order on Board

Digitally signed by JYOTI JHA
Date: 2026.09.03 10:37:22 +0530

02.09.2026

1. None appears nor is any representation made on behalf of the petitioners when the matter is called in the second round.
2. At the outset, learned State Counsel submits that the relief sought in the present writ petition is for a direction to the respondent authorities to convene a meeting of the D.P.C. for consideration of the petitioners' cases for promotion to the post of Professor. He submits that, as stated in paragraph 3 of the reply, the D.P.C. has already been convened and, pursuant to the same, vide orders dated 27.03.2021 and 24.08.2021, the petitioners have already been promoted to the post of Professor. Thus, the relief sought in the present writ petition has already been rendered infructuous.
3. In view of the aforesaid submission, the present writ petition stands dismissed as having become infructuous.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

Jyoti